2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 SERIOTA SITE PLAN 6 Project No. 2015-28 7 NYS Route 17K Section 94; Block 1; Lot 61 8 IB Zone 9 ----X 10 SITE PLAN 11 1496 Route 300 12 Newburgh, New York 12550 November 19, 2015 13 8:13 p.m. 14 BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN EWASUTYN, Chairman 15 FRANK GALLI CLIFFORD BROWNE 16 KENNETH MENNERICH DAVID DOMINICK 17 JOHN WARD ALSO PRESENT: 18 MICHAEL DONNELLY, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 19 GERALD CANFIELD 20 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: ROSS WINGLOVITZ 22 ------- - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter 24 10 Westview Drive Wallkill, New York 12589 25 (845)895-3018

1

SERIOTA SITE PLAN

2 MR. BROWNE: Our next item of 3 business is Seriota Site Plan, project number 4 2015-28. This is a site plan being presented 5 by Engineering & Surveying Properties, Ross 6 Winglovitz. 7 MR. WINGLOVITZ: Good evening. Ross 8 Winglovitz with Engineering Properties on behalf 9 of Greg Seriota with his site plan application 10 for reuse of the existing warehouse building on 11 the corner of Cochecton Avenue and 17K for a 12 service station for his fleet vehicles. 13 We were here before you last month. We 14 made a resubmission with a number of replies to 15 Pat's comments, including the landscape plan. 16 We're here to address any comments you may have, 17 and, if you feel it's appropriate, any SEQRA 18 action that may be taken. 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, do you 20 want to go through your review sheet, please? 21 MR. HINES: Ross, just to clarify, it 22 states that you're going to be combining lot 57 I 23 want to say. 57? It looks like lot 57 is also 24 on the other side of the road. 25 MR. WINGLOVITZ: Yup. That is part of

1	SERIOTA SITE PLAN 81
2	the application. It does not extend across the
3	road, you're asking?
4	MR. HINES: Correct. You're not going
5	to combine the whole of 57 with this parcel;
6	right?
7	MR. WINGLOVITZ: It's only this small
8	sliver here.
9	MR. HINES: We need to clarify on the
10	map that there's going to be a lot consolidation.
11	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Okay. I'm not sure
12	what's unclear, though.
13	MR. HINES: Because it states that lot
14	57 is going to be combined.
15	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Right.
16	MR. HINES: But lot 57 also exists on
17	what's the other side of Willow Street.
18	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Existing on the other
19	side?
20	MR. GALLI: It's on the map.
21	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Okay.
22	MR. HINES: Do you see what I mean? It
23	exists over here, too. Lot 57 seems to have some
24	strange geometry.
25	MR. WINGLOVITZ, That's 51 across the

1	SERIOTA SITE PLAN	82
2	street. 94:1:57 in the back.	
3	MR. CANFIELD: This one says 57.	
4	MR. WINGLOVITZ: I think that's just	a
5	wrong label.	
6	MR. HINES: Okay. That's driving the	;
7	confusion.	
8	MR. WINGLOVITZ: A drafting carryover	
9	is what it looks like.	
10	MR. HINES: If that's 51, it just nee	ds
11	to get cleaned up.	
12	Just a note that they're going to	
13	request a sprinkler waiver for the structure.	If
14	they don't get that waiver, additional utilitie	S
15	will be required.	
16	MR. WINGLOVITZ: We have shown jus	t,
17	for everybody's purpose, we have shown a fire	
18	sprinkler connection because we didn't want the	
19	plan to be incomplete in case we didn't get the	
20	waiver. The applicant, as part of his building	
21	permit process, plans on requesting a waiver.	If
22	he doesn't get the waiver we do show the fire	
23	sprinkler connection on the plans, so it's	
24	 complete as far as that.	
25	MR. HINES: Just a note that the	

1 SERIOTA SITE PLAN 2 vehicles. 3 MR. WINGLOVITZ: He's going to be 4 filling the tank once a day. 5 6 7 to be used as a fuel depot for the fleet. 8 9 10 11 can be on the site. 12 MR. WINGLOVITZ: These are standard notes. consistent with the standard conditions. 15 MR. HINES: If the Board feels this plan is ready, County referral is required. 17 There's a missing contour line between 490 contour missing. I don't know why. 21 The applicants are not proposing 22 23

MR. HINES: That's added traffic for the Board as you're reviewing this. It is going

Note 9 should be revised. It says four vehicles are going to be on the site for repairs. The Town's requirements are only three vehicles

13 We'll need to correct number 9 to be 14

16

18 the swale and the landscape buffer. There's a 19 The 20 swale is at 489 or something. Clean that up.

curbing on the site throughout the entire paved parking area. Consistent with the two previous 24 projects, the Board normally requires curbing. 25 If that's going to be a waiver, that should be

#### SERIOTA SITE PLAN

2 specifically requested of the Board. 3 MR. WINGLOVITZ: We would request a 4 waiver from curbing. Our proposal is basically 5 just to pave the existing gravel area. We don't 6 want to create any new drainage patterns or 7 change anything other than to pave the existing 8 gravel areas. There is a gravel area off the 9 site that we're going to topsoil and seed, so 10 that will provide an edge on the east side of the 11 project. 12 Pat had a comment about fencing. He 13 wanted us to provide fencing around the entire 14 facility. I didn't think that was something the 15 Board wanted to see because of aesthetics. The 16 split rail, maybe that's a good medium. 17 MR. HINES: The concern that I have in 18 looking at this, the site is flat and there's 19 nothing to control -- if there's ten vehicles on 20 the site that day, there's nothing to control --21 without the benefit of curbing, you could start

double stacking vehicles. I'm looking, at least, for the Board's review to keep them in that paved area. I think --

25

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let's poll the

1		SERIOTA SITE PLAN 86
2		Board Members and see if they want curbing or
3	-	not.
4		John Ward?
5		MR. WARD: If there's a modification,
6		even if it was a post rail fence along the
7		blacktop so cars or anything can't go there. As
8		I look, I know what you're saying with the
9		fencing around. We don't want that. The curbing
10		was the idea of them going over to go into the
11		field. If there's some modification of something
12		that's decorative, that would be fine. It keeps
13		them from going out there.
14		MR. WINGLOVITZ: I don't think he
15	9	actually wanted it around the whole facility and
16		I said I don't think that's a good idea. I think
17		that's a good compromise.
18		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'm not quite sure
19		I understand what we're talking about. Are we
20		talking about fencing or are we talking about
21		curbing on the site?
22		MR. DONNELLY: I think John is saying
23		he would go with waiving curbs if there was a
24		fence to control vehicle movement.
25		CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is the purpose of

.

#### SERIOTA SITE PLAN

2 the curbing to control -- I'm lost. 3 The site is so flat, it's MR. HINES: 4 not to control the drainage but it's more to 5 control the vehicles. It's a relatively large 6 site. We know there's a forty vehicle fleet. 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The fence won't get 8 in the way with snowplowing? 9 MR. HINES: I'm sure they can modify 10 it. 11 MR. WINGLOVITZ: We can probably set it 12 five feet in front of the pavement so that there 13 will would be room for snow removal. 14 MR. HINES: You could leave it six feet 15 off. A split rail, you can remove a couple of 16 rails during the winter. It's just a suggestion. 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'm just trying to 18 follow it. That's all. 19 Dave, you're suggesting a fence? 20 MR. DOMINICK: I'm going with curbing. 21 I think you're going to run into a big obstacle 22 in the wintertime with snow. You're going to 23 push it against the fence and the fence is going 24 to tip and look like hell come spring and all 25 year long. It's probably not going to get

1	SERIOTA SITE PLAN . 88
2	replaced in an expedient manner. I think
3	curbing.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?
5	MR. MENNERICH: I also agree with Dave,
6	the curbing would be best. That's what we
7	required in other facilities similar to this.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff Browne?
9	MR. BROWNE: I think the curbing, yes.
10	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Frank Galli?
11	MR. GALLI: Curbing.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Curbing. It's a
13	majority vote.
14	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Is there flexibility
15	of what type of curbing we provide? '
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Standard curbing.
17	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Concrete curbing?
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Concrete curbing,
19	twenty inches deep.
20	MR. HINES: The size of the landscaping
21	plants is left off the landscaping plan. We need
22	the size of those.
- 23	Also, typical to other vehicle
24	maintenance storage areas in Town, they generate
25	used tires, cardboard, other waste, we're

.

ERTOT	A SITE	DIAN
<u> </u>	N DITE	PLAN

1	SERIOTA SITE PLAN	89
2	suggesting that a dumpster should be provided or	l
3	the site. It could be located back by the	
4	petroleum tank area there. With the requirement	S
5	for recycling cardboard and waste tires, I just	
6	don't want to see them piled up.	
7	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Any suggestions on	
8	where you'd like to see it? I'd like to put it	
9	over here in this corner.	
10	MR. HINES: I can't see that far.	
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I think that's up	
12	to the user of the property, what would be the	
13	most convenient place.	
14	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Okay. We'll screen	
15	it. We'll provide a fence around it.	
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield?	
17	MR. CANFIELD: One comment on the	
18	petroleum tank. Provide a containment area.	
19	It's only 1,000 gallon tank but it still require	s
20	a containment area, spill containment. That can	
21	be done like a double walled tank or whatever.	
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ross, I'm not	
23	really certain but it's just a minor issue.	
24	That's a metal roof on that building?	
25	MR. WINGLOVITZ: A metal roof, yes. I	

1 SERIOTA SITE PLAN

2

believe it is.

3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The only thing I 4 say is look at where you have the plants along 5 the front of the building, look at the snow load 6 on the roof, when it slides off, whether it will 7 be falling on the plants and if you should move 8 the plants a few feet out. 9 So the motion before us now is to 10 circulate to the Orange County Planning 11 Department. 12 MR. DONNELLY: Certainly we can do 13 that. 14 You had mentioned SEORA. I think this 15 is under 4,000 square feet, a Type 2, so there's 16 no further SEQRA compliance. 17 We do need to send it to County 18 Planning. 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a 20 motion to circulate to the Orange County Planning 21 Department. 22 MR. MENNERICH: So moved. 23 MR. DOMINICK: Second. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by 25 Ken Mennerich and a second by Dave Dominick.

1 SERIOTA SITE PLAN 91 2 I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank 3 Galli. 4 MR. GALLI: Aye. 5 MR. BROWNE: Aye. 6 MR. MENNERICH: Aye. 7 MR. DOMINICK: Aye. 8 MR. WARD: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye. 10 You'll get plans to Pat Hines. 11 MR. WINGLOVITZ: Yes. Then we'll have 12 one more meeting before we set a public hearing, 13 assuming everything is okay at the next one? 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll poll the Board 15 Members now to see if they want to have a public 16 hearing. 17 MR. GALLI: How many residences are 18 around there? 19 MR. WINGLOVITZ: None. This is wooded. 20 It's wooded on this side and then you have the 21 Auto Auction, you've got a distribution/trucking 22 facility here, a real estate office there, 23 there's a business here. 24 MR. GALLI: No. I'm good. 25 MR. BROWNE: No.

1	SERIOTA SITE PLAN 92
2	MR. MENNERICH: No.
3	MR. DOMINICK: No.
4	MR. WARD: No.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record show
6	that we waived the public hearing.
7	MR. WINGLOVITZ: Thank you very much.
8	We'll be back.
9	
10	(Time noted: 8:24 p.m.)
. 11	
12	
13	
14	
. 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	STATE OF NEW YORK )
6	: SS.:
7	COUNTY OF ULSTER )
8	
9	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public
10	for and within the State of New York, do hereby
11	certify:
12	That the proceedings hereinbefore
13	set forth is a true record.
14	I further certify that I am not
15	related to any of the parties to this action by
16	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
17	interested in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19	set my hand this 9th day of December 2015.
20	
21	
22	MICHELLE CONEDO
23	MICHELLE CONERO
24	
25	



1.

# TOWN OF NEWBURGH Crossroads of the Northeast

OLD TOWN HALL 308 GARDNERTOWN ROAD NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

PLANNING BOARD JOHN P. EWASUTYN, CHAIRMAN TELEPHONE 845-564-7804 FAX 845-564-7802 E-MAIL: planningboard@townofnewburgh.org

### PLANNING BOARD AGENDA

#### **NOVEMBER 19, 2015**

\*PLEASE NOTE: The Planning Board Meeting will start at 7:00 P.M. and all Applicants/Representatives are to be present at that time. The Meeting will be held in the Meeting Room of Town Hall, located at 1496 Route 300, Newburgh NY.\*

Matrix Business Park at Newburgh (2015-26) Route 17K

Section 95, Block 1, Lot TBD (portion of prior Lots 4.12, 54.1, 69.25 & 49.12) Zone: IB Rep: Langan Engineering, Environmental,

Surveying & Landscape Architecture 707 Westchester Ave, Suite 304 White Plains, NY 10604

2. One Powelton Avenue (2015-19) Section 80, Block 6, Lot 7 Zone: B Rep: Highlands Architecture, PLLC 3212 Route 9 Cold Spring, NY 10516

3. Route 300 Realty LLC (2015-30) 128 Old South Plank Road Section 64, Block 2, Lot 22 Zone: B

Rep: Vincent Doce Associates 242 South Plank Road Newburgh, N.Y. 12550 SITE PLAN

SITE PLAN

INITIAL APPEARANCE AMENDED SITE PLAN

<u>\*WORK SESSION\*</u> Project Readiness Discussion scheduled for 5:30 p.m. in the Meeting Room of Town Hall on Thursday, November 19, 2015.\*

# PLANNING BOARD BUSINESS WORK SESSION

## November 19, 2015 5:30 P.M.

#### **Discussion:**

- 1. **Driscoll Subdivision** (2009-12) Applicant is requesting a six month preliminary subdivision approval extension from September 29, 2015 to March 29, 2016.
- 2. **Polo Club** (2006-09) Applicant is requesting a six month preliminary site plan approval extension from September 29, 2015 to March 29, 2016.



## McGOEY, HAUSER and EDSALL CONSULTING ENGINEERS D.P.C.

MARK J. EDSALL, P.E., P.P. (NY, NJ & PA) MICHAEL W. WEEKS, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) MICHAEL J. LAMOREAUX, P.E. (NY, NJ, PA, VT & VA) MATTHEW J. SICKLER, P.E. (NY & PA) PATRICK J. HINES

Main Office 33 Airport Center Drive Suite 202 New Windsor, New York 12553

(845) 567-3100 fax: (845) 567-3232 e-mail: mheny@mhepc.com

**Principal Emeritus:** RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. (NY & PA) WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA)

#### **TOWN OF NEWBURGH** PLANNING BOARD **TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS**

**PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NO.: PROJECT LOCATION: REVIEW DATE: MEETING DATE: REPRESENTATIVE:** 

SIEROTA SITE PLAN 15-28 SECTION 94, BLOCK 1, LOT 61 & 57 **13 NOVEMBER 2015 19 NOVEMBER 2015 ENGINEERING & SURVEYING PROPERTIES** 

- The Applicant's representative was requested to clarify tax map 57 to be combined with tax 1. map 61. Portions of tax map 57 appear to extend to the north side of Willow Street only a portion of lot 57 is proposed to be combined with lot 61. In addition filed map lines are identified on the parcel which should be addressed during the consolidation.
- 2. The Applicant's have identified they are going to request a waiver for the sprinkler system.
- 3. The Applicant's have identified they intend on paving the gravel areas of the site. The Planning Board should address the need for curbing on the site.
- A landscape plan has been prepared for the Planning Boards review. 4.
- 5. Petroleum
- tank has been relocated to the vicinity of the proposed building away from the property line. 6. The Applicant's have identified that their vehicles will be fueled at the facility approximately twice a week.
- Note 9 should be revised that vehicles for repair is limited to 4. Currently it states the maximum 7. vehicles of 4 onsite designated parking spots. In addition, Note 9 seems to conflict with the Note 7a which identifies 3 vehicles.
- 8. County Planning referral is required.
- 9. A 490 contour is required between the proposed berm on Route 17K and the swale depicted on
  - Regional Office 111 Wheatfield Drive Sulte 1 Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 570-296-2765 •



Member

the plans.

- 10. If project is identified as being exempt from curbing we would recommend some means of controlling placement of vehicles on the site. Possibly a split rail fence, bollards or some other method to contain vehicles to the proposed paved surfaces.
- 11. Size of all proposed landscape plants must be identified.
- 12. Provisions for a dumpster must be included. Used tire storage, cardboard and other waste typically generated must be addressed.
- 13. The landscape buffer should include a stonewall typical to other developments along the highway corridor.

Respectfully submitted,

McGoey, Hauser & Edsall Consulting Engineers, D.P.C.

Patrick J. Hines Principal



www.EngineeringPropertiesPC.com 71 Clinton Street Montgomery, NY 12549 phone: (845) 457-7727 fax: (845) 457-1899

BUPCH

November 5, 2015

Town of Newburgh Planning Board 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, NY 12550 **ATTN: John Ewasutyn, Chairman** 

#### RE: SIEROTA SITE PLAN NYS ROUTE 17K & COCHECTON AVE TAX LOT # 94-1-61&57 (Project #2015-28)

Dear Mr. Ewasutyn:

Please find attached 15 copies of the revised plan set for the above referenced project. The plans have been revised in accordance to the latest comments letter from McGoey, Hauser and Edsall, D.P.C dated October 9, 2015 and comments of the Board and its consultants at the meeting. Below is a comment by comment response:

#### M.H&E Comments

- 1. Lot 57 is also part of the purchase and is controlled by the same owners. Lot 57 has been added to the plan as part of the application and note #10 has been added stating that the lots shall be combined into a single lot with a single owner prior to signing of any approved maps.
- 2. All stormwater management facilities are shown on the map are existing. A landscaped berm with a drainage pattern shown to the northeast is proposed to maintain existing drainage patterns. Previous work was done to reduce the ponding and the berm should help in this regard. The proposed grades along the drainage pattern will continue to promote draining of this area.
- 3. A 35 foot landscaped buffer is provided on the plans, also please see the landscape plans provided by others for landscaping specifications on the site and within the 35-foot buffer.
- 4. Parking within a front yard setback is existing and is inevitable due the fact that the lot has frontage on 3 sides. The parking has been limited to be as far away from the main road (NYSDOT Route 17K) as practicable with an effective buffer proposed. At this time a waiver would be requested allowing the existing parking conditions to remain. The existing building mounted lighting will be utilized for this site. As the proposed lot layout is not changing the lighting layout is not proposed to be modified.
- 5. Please find the landscape plans by others in the attached plan sets.
- 6. The 1,000-gallon tank is proposed. Its location has been moved away from the property line.
- 7. The well to be abandoned is specified to be abandoned is accordance with all applicable AWWA standards.
- 8. Town of Newburgh Water System notes have been added to sheet C-2. Additionally, the water service connections detail has been revised to all specifications set forth by the Town of Newburgh Water Department.

Site Design and Development • Land Surveying • Environmental Planning and Permitting Construction Support • Project Management • Client Advocating and Representation • Municipal Engineering







