	Orange County Department of Planning Application for Mandatory County Review of Local Planning Action (Variances, Zone Changes, Special Permits, Subdivisions)
÷	To be completed by Local Board having jurisdiction. To be signed by Local Official.
	TONZBA TOWN OF Newburgh MUNICIPALITY: ZONING BOARD OF Appeals TAX MAP ID: 64-421 (Section-Block-Lot)
	Local File #: MANNING BOARD REFERRAL Project Name:
	Applicant: John Russell Address: 1 Autumn Ribge WAY, Newburgh
	Attorney, Engineer, Architect: <u>Coppola</u> Finis Avenue Location of Site: <u>164-166</u> South <u>PLANK ROAD</u> - <u>Old South</u> <u>PLANK</u> (Street, highway, nearest intersection)
ż	Size of Parcel: 1. 2 ACRES Existing Lots: Proposed Lots/Units
	Present Zoning District:B
	TYPE OF REVIEW:
	Special Use Permit* (SUP):
	Variance* USE (VU): AREA (AV): front VARD, Side YARDS, Combined Side YARDS, REARYADDS & Number of PARKING Spaces for Three Buildings on ONE Lot Zone Change* FROM:TO:
	Zoning Amendment** To Section:
	* 🖸 Subdivision MajorMinor
	DATE: 4/19/12 Preliminary SFinal
	Chairperson, Signature and Title Zoning Bonro of Appenls
	*Cite Section of Zoning Regulations where pertinent.
	FOR COUNTY USE ONLY County ID #
	¥

.

# TOWN OF NEWBURGH

Crossroads of the Northeast \_\_\_\_\_

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

OLD TOWN HALL 308 GARDNERTOWN ROAD NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

> AREA VARIANCE APPLICATION

OFFICE OF ZONING BOARD (845) 566-4901

DATED: March 27, 2012

TO: THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

I (WE) John Russell PRESENTLY

RESIDING AT NUMBER 1 Autumn Ridge Way, Newburgh, NY 12550

TELEPHONE NUMBER 1-203-948-7230

HEREBY MAKE APPLICATION TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

A USE VARIANCE
X AN AREA VARIANCE

INTERPRETATION OF THE ORDINANCE

1. LOCATION OF THE PROPERTY:

64-4-21 (TAX MAP DESIGNATION)

164-166 South Plank Road (STREET ADDRESS)

B (ZONING DISTRICT)

2. PROVISION OF THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE, (INDICATE THE SECTION AND SUBSECTION OF THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE BY NUMBER; DO NOT QUOTE THE LAW).

This application is for an Area Variance. The are requests are for number of parking spaces, front yard setback, side yards for (buildings #1 and #3). Both side yards and rear yard setback (all items as outline in the letter from the planning board attorney dated 2/6/2012.

1

**. . .** 

- 3. IF VARIANCE TO THE ZONING LAW IS REQUESTED:
  - a) APPEAL IS MADE FROM DISAPPROVAL BY THE TOWN BUILDING INSPECTOR OR BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION. SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED: March 5, 2012
  - b) OR DENIAL (REFERRAL) BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH OF AN APPLICATION TO THE BOARD, SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED: <u>February 6, 2012</u>
- 4. DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCE SOUGHT: Area Variance.
- 5. IF A USE VARIANCE IS REQUESTED: STRICT APPLICATION OF THE ZONING LAW WOULD PRODUCE UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IN THAT:
  - a) UNDER APPLICABLE ZONING REGULATIONS THE APPLICANT IS DEPRIVED OF ALL ECONOMIC USE OR BENEFIT FROM THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION BECAUSE:

### (ATTACH WITH THIS APPLICATION COMPETENT FINANCIAL EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING SUCH DEPRIVATION)

- b) THE HARDSHIP IS UNIQUE AND DOES NOT APPLY TO A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:
- c) THE VARIANCE WOULD NOT ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:

- d) THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF-CREATED BECAUSE:
- 6. IF AN AREA VARIANCE IS REQUESTED:
  - a) THE VARIANCE WILL NOT PRODUCE AN UNDESIRABLE CHANGE IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR A DETRIMENT TO NEARBY PROPERTIES BECAUSE: There are no proposed changes to the existing buildings - therefore the essential character of the neighborhood will not change.
  - b) THE BENEFIT SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT CAN NOT BE ACHIEVED BY SOME METHOD, FEASIBLE FOR THE APPLICANT TO PURSUE, OTHER THAN AN AREA VARIANCE, BECAUSE: None of the variances being asked for involve any new construction.
     All of the existing footprints and buildings remain unchanged.
  - c) THE REQUESTED AREA VARIANCE IS NOT SUBSTANTIAL BECAUSE:

The variances are not substantial because all are pre-existing and had been conforming setbacks when the buildings were originally built.

- d) THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL NOT HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT OR IMPACT ON THE PHYSICAL OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR DISTRICT BECAUSE: Drainage for an expanded lot new parking will be reviewed and approved by the planning board engineer.
- e) THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF CREATED BECAUSE: The planning board application and the subsequent ZBA application are all a result of the owner's inquiry at the building department for an existing certificate of occupancy.

7. ADDITIONAL REASONS (IF PERTINENT): 7/26/2011 Comments from Joe Mattina (Code Compliance) 11/14/2011 Narrative Letter from Coppola Associates to John Ewasutyn (Planning Board Chair) IONER (S) SIGNATURE STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OR ORANGE: 6Th tebruary SWORN TO THIS DAY OF JERRY D. STUIT Notary Public, State of New York Qualified in Orange County No. 01ST4803068 NOTARY PUBLIC Commission Expires March 30, 20

NOTE: NYS GML Section 239-m (3) for proposed actions that are within 500 feet of the properties or thresholds listed in the statute the Zoning Board of Appeals is required to send a copy of the complete application to the Orange County Department of Planning to be reviewed prior to Zoning Board of Appeals decision. And also NYS GML Section 239-NN requires notification for any proposed actions, to the Municipal Clerk. within 500 feet of the Border of that adjoining County. Town or City.

### 617.20 Appendix C State Environmental Quality Review SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

PART I - PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by Applicant or Project Sponsor)           1. APPLICANT/SPONSOR/         2. PROJECT NAME					
	2. PROJECT NAME				
JOHN L. RUSSELL	164-166 SOUTH PLANK ROAD				
PROJECT LOCATION:					
Municipality TOWN OF NEWBURGH	County ORANGE				
PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent	landmarks, etc., or provide map)				
SOUTH PLANK ROAD WEST C	DF INNIS AVENUE				
PROPOSED ACTION IS:	n				
DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:					
CHANGE OF OCCUPANCY					
AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED: Initially acres Ultimately [.2	acres				
WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OT					
Yes No If No, describe briefly	HEN END THU CAND OUT RED TRUCHUND?				
$\sim$ $\sim$					
WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?					
Describe:	Agriculture Park/Forest/Open Space Other				
DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NO	W OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY				
(FEDERAL, STATE OF LOCAL)?					
Yes If Yes, list agency(s) name and per	mit/approvals:				
DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID	PERMIT OR APPROVAL?				
Yes No If Yes, list agency(s) name and per	mit/approvals:				
AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/AF	PPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?				
Yes No					
I CERTICATHAT, THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AB	BOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE				
Applicant/sponsor name:	Date: //				
Signature:ATM Chand	1				
If the action is in the Coastal Area, and	you are a state agency complete the				

1

Reset

PART II - IMPACT ASSESSMENT (To be completed by Lead Agency)						
A DOES ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE IT						
B WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATE declaration may be superseded by anoth	D REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLIS er involved agency	STED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR PART 617 62 If No. a negative				
C COULD ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVI C1 Existing air quality surface or grou potential for erosion drainage or flo None	ndwater quality or quantity indise levels	THE FOLLOWING (Answers may be handwritten if legible) existing traffic pattern, solid waste production or disposa-				
C2 Aesthetic agricultural archaeologi None	ai historic or other natural or cultural	resources, or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly				
C3 Vegetation or faunal fish shellfish None	or wildlife species significant habitats.	or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly				
C4 A community's existing plans or goals None	C4 A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly None					
C5 Growth subsequent development None	C5. Growth subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly None					
C6 Long term short term cumulative None	or other effects not identified in C1-C57	Explain briefly				
C7 Other impacts (including changes i None						
D WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ENVIRONMENTAL AREA (CEA)? Yes No If Yes explain		CTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CRITICAL				
E IS THERE OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE		ENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?				
PART III - DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency) INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above determine whether it is substantial large important or otherwise significant. Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (: e: urban or rural) (b; probability of occurring (c) duration. (d) irreversibility ie geographic scope, and (f) magnitude. If necessary add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. If question D of Part II was checked yes, the determination of significance must evaluate the potential impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the CEA.						
EAF and/or prepare a positive dec	aration	ant adverse impacts which MAY occur. Then proceed directly to the Full,				
Check this box if you have determin NOT result in any significant adver	Check this box if you have determined based on the information and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action WILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts AND provide, on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts AND provide, on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination.					
Name of Lead A	gency	Date				
Print or Type Name of Responsible		Title of Exception Chicer				
Signature of Responsible Office	r in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (If omerent, for responsible officer)				

#### TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

PROXY , DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT Newburgh HE/SHE RESIDES AT 4/AL AND STATE OF IN THE COUNTY OF OCANS AND THAT HE/SHE IS THE OWNER IN FEE OF WHICH IS THE PREMISES DESCRIBED IN THE FOREGOING APPLICA-Sseciates TION AND THAT HE/SHE HAS AUTHORIZED ( TO MAKE THE FOREGOING APPLICATION AS DESCRIBED THEREIN. DATED **ÓWNER'S SIGNATURE** rin WITNESS' SIGNATURE

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF ORANGE: MARCH SWORN TO THIS 28 DAY OF 20 12

naria C. minici

NOTARY PUBLIC

MARIA C. MINICI Notary Public, State of New York No. 31-4973682 Qualified in Westchester County Certificate Filed in New York County Commission Expires October 29, 20\_\_\_\_

5

### Dickover, Donnelly, Donovan & Biagi, LLP Attorneys and Counselors at Law

James B. Biagi David A. Donovan Michael H. Donnelly Robert J. Dickover 28 Bruen Place P.O. Box 610 Goshen, NY 10924 Phone (845) 294-9447 ma@dddblaw.com Fax (845) 294-6553 (Not for Service of Process)

Successor Law Firm To: Alexander Appelbaum, P.C., Florida, N.Y. (1915-1988) Ludmerer & Vurno, Esqs., Warwick, N.Y.

February 6, 2012

Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

RE: Russell and Lee Site Plan (TNPB: 11.27) 164-166 South Plank Road 64-4-21 (Zone B)

Members of the Board:

I write to you as the attorney for the Town of Newburgh Planning Board. The above referenced applicant appeared before the planning board on February 2, 2012. The applicant seeks site plan approval for three buildings on one lot. The applicant proposes to use two of the buildings as office and retail space and the third as a single-family dwelling.

In order to accomplish this objective, several variances will be required as follows:

- Number of parking spaces;
- Front yard setback;
- Side yard setbacks for buildings #1 and #3;
- Both side yards;
- Rear yard setback.

The applicant will also be applying to you separately for a use variance as it relates to the existing single-family dwelling.

The planning board believes that it would be appropriate for you to handle these variance applications on a uncoordinated basis under SEQRA. The planning board has no other particular issues to bring to your attention or recommendations to make to you in regard to this referral.

Very truly yours,

Mit H Pourf

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY

MHD/lrm

Cc: Town of Newburgh Planning Board David A. Donovan, Esq. Coppola Associates

### 164 & 166 S. PLANK RD

### JOHN RUSSEL 1 AUTUMN RIDGE WAY NEWBURGH NY 12550

PROJECT:	CHANGE OF OCCUPANCIES.		
CONTRACTOR:			
S.B.L.:	64-4-21.1 & 64-4-21.2		
PHONE #	569-7933		
DATE:	7-26-2011		
APPLICATION #	11-310 & 11-309		

#### PLEASE SUBMIT ALL ITEMS LISTED BELOW AT ONE TIME !!!!!!

NO PLAN REVIEW WAS CONDUCTED AN AMENDED SITE PLAN FROM THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD WILL BE REQUIRED. VARIANCES FROM THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS IS REQUIRED ARCHITECT OR ENGINEERED PLANS WILL BE REQUIRED AFTER A SITE PLAN IS OBTAINED.

1. The 2 applications submitted for the florist and church can not be issued at this time. A change of occupancy has occurred in both buildings. This required approval by the towns planning board before permits could be issued. The 2010 Building Code of New York also has requirements that must be met in order to ensure the life, health and welfare of the occupant. An order to remedy will be issued for each building. They will remain in effect until a building permit is issued and a certificate of occupancy is obtained allowing the use of these buildings.

Joseph Mattina Code Compliance



Monday, November 14, 2011

John Ewasutyn Chairman Town of Newburgh Planning Board 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, NY 2011

### Re: Site Plan for John Russell and Diane Lee - 164 - 166 South Plank Road

Dear Mr. Ewasutyn:

We are making an application for site plan approval based on the direction and review from the building department (see attached review letter from Joe Mattina). This review letter was generated after the owner inquired about the existing certificates of occupancy. The existing and proposed occupancies can best be described in the table below:

Building Number	Existing Use as per the Building Department records	Building Currently Occupied as:	Proposed Use
Building #1	Single Family House	Single Family House	Single Family House
Building #2	Florist	Vacant (last used as Church)	Office / Retail
Building #3	Single Family House	Florist	Office / Retail

No changes are being made to any of the existing building footprints.

The site improvements shown on the drawings include:

- 1. Parking lot stripping added, spaces defined over existing paved areas and handicap parking added.
- 2. Plastic roof enclosure removed and new parking spaces added over existing concrete pad.
- 3. Site lighting added.
- 4. Some landscaping added in the front
- 5. Dumpster added.

Attached herein are the site plan application, environmental assessment short form, and copies of the site plan drawings. Please feel free to call with any questions.

Sincerely,

A.J. Coppola, R.A. Principal Coppola Associates

6 Old North Plank Road • Suite 101 • Newburgh, NY 12550 • TEL: 845-561-3559 • FAX: 845-561-2051

## TOWN OF NEWBURGH

Crossroads of the Northeast \_\_\_\_\_

OLD TOWN HALL 308 GARDNERTOWN ROAD NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

CODE COMPLIANCE DEPT. TELEPHONE 845-564-7801 FAX LINE 845-564-7802

> Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh N.Y. 12550

Re: Russell & Lee Site Plan 164-166 S. Plank Rd.

Members of the Z.B.A.,

Please accept this correspondence as a form of referral for the above mentioned Site Plan which had been presented to the Planning Board in December of 2011. The Site which is located in a "B" zone with Municipal Water and Sewer reveals an existing one family residence in addition to several other commercial and accessory structures. In an attempt to bring the entire site into compliance and secure Building Permits and Certificates of Occupancies, it is necessary for the applicant to receive a use variance for the existing single family residence to continue. It is my understanding that due to the application presented to the Planning Board for an Amended Site Plan, the applicant has lost all existing non conforming protection. You will be receiving a separate referral for area variances from he Planning Board Attorney.

Cc: Planning Board Anthony Cappola R.A.

Sincerely Gerald Canfield

Code Compliance Supervisor

March 5, 2012

