Planning & Development Advisors



Creating value by unlocking opportunities

March 19, 2021

To: Mark Taylor

From: David B. Smith

Re: Petition for Zoning Amendment – Monticello Raceway Management, Inc.

This office is in receipt of materials submitted on behalf of Monticello Raceway Management, Inc. (the "Applicant") to amend the Town of Newburgh Zoning Code to permit New York State Licensed Video Lottery Gaming Facilities as a permitted use (the "Proposed Action"). More specifically, the proposed use would only be permitted within shopping centers in the IB District that have in excess of 500 parking spaces. The Applicant proposes to utilize approximately 90,000 square feet of the existing Newburgh Mall (the "Subject Site") to accommodate approximately 1,400 video lottery terminals. The Proposed Action contemplates a maximum of 150 employees on-site at any one time.

Initial State Environmental Quality Review (SEQR) Process Summary

As noted in the Applicant's 2/12/21 submission, one of the first steps the Town needs to take is to establish Lead Agency to coordinate the environmental review process. The Town Board, by virtue of that body being the only one that can approve zoning amendments, would ultimately assume Lead Agency status after circulation of a Notice to Intent. The Notice of Intent is circulated to all other Interested and Involved Agencies (e.g., Town of Newburgh Planning Board, Orange County, NYS DEC, NYS DOT) and provide them with an opportunity to review the Proposed Action. Typically, as part of the notice and circulation process 30 days is provided for Interested and Involved Agencies to respond.

The next step in the review process is to determine significance of the action. This is done by reviewing Part 1 of the Environmental Assessment Form submitted by the Applicant, all supporting documentation provided with the initial petition or as may be subsequently submitted and an initial review of EAF Part 2 prepared by this office, see attached and comments below. At some future date, after review of the aforementioned materials, the Town Board, acting as Lead Agency, will need to make a determination of significance. A Negative Declaration would mean that the Lead Agency determines that the Proposed Action will not cause there to be an adverse impact on the environment or that the identified adverse environmental impacts will not be significant. A Positive Declaration made by the Lead Agency means that the action may include the potential for at least one adverse environmental impact. A Positive Declaration means that an environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared. The preparation of an EIS is a much more involved process which we can get into at a later date, if necessary.

Initial Zoning Review

The Proposed Action would allow the proposed use as a permitted use in shopping centers in the IB Zone. It is noted that shopping centers are currently allowed in both the IB and B Zoning Districts. The following is offered for the Town Board's consideration.

It would appear that there might be other shopping centers in the IB Zone where this proposed use could be applicable to. The Town should consider whether it wants to limit the number of potential locations for this particular use by restrictions in addition to the 500-parking space requirement. This can be accomplished by adding additional requirements for location which might be tied to minimum size of the property in question, location from other like uses, etc.

Preliminary EAF Part 2 Review

Part 2 of the EAF, see attached, is to be completed by the Lead Agency after a review of the Proposed Action and the receipt of any additional information/reports from the Applicant. Part 2 is designed to assist the Lead Agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. The Lead Agency would use the EAF parts 1 and 2 along with accompanying information and reports provided by the Applicant before making a Determination of Significance as referenced above in the SEQR Process Summary.

An initial review of Part 2 categories reveals the following impact areas for additional review.

13. Impact on Transportation (page 8 of 10).

Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.

The Proposed Action calls for the reuse of a portion of an existing retail shopping mall. While the peak hour trips between the former retail use and the proposed video lottery terminal use are roughly comparable, it appears that the proposed VLT use has hours of operation that would run later (10:00 PM to 11:00 peak with hours that run until at least 12:00 Midnight) than the existing Newburgh Mall and create a Saturday evening peak which typically isn't found with traditional retail uses. While it would appear that there should be available capacity on the local roadway network, these conditions should be confirmed. Truck and shift traffic onto Route 300 from other nearby projects, including the 925,000± square foot and 205,200± square foot distribution centers proposed for the Matrix Logistics Center on "The Ridge" site across Route 300 should also be considered. See also review memo from Creighton Manning Engineers.

The Applicant's traffic report (p. 6) indicates that the Subject Site is serviced by local bus transit service which includes a route that runs between Broadway in the City of Newburgh and Route 300. The service is provided up until 7:00 PM on weekdays and Saturdays. The Applicant has indicated (page 7 of the traffic report) that a certain percentage of employees would use alternate means to get to the Subject Site (carpooling, public transportation or other means). Given that the peak demand is after the operation of the bus service how would the Applicant accommodate those employees who might require public transportation to access the Subject Site. The matter of whether excursion buses will bring customers should also be addressed.

Do the later hours of operation present an issue (e.g., are there incidents of traffic accidents at specific locations given poorer visibility at night?).

17. Consistency with Community Plans (page 10 of 10).

At the time of the adoption of the Town's Comprehensive Plan Update (2005) the currently proposed gaming use was not a consideration as a land use element. With the current evaluation of the Proposed Action by the Town a part of the zoning amendment process, the Town will be considering potential impacts as noted above and below with respect to traffic and circulation and community character.

18. Consistency with Community Character (page 10 of 10).

The Proposed Action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g., schools, police, fire).

It is noted that the Proposed Action only includes a portion of the traditional casino/gaming components and is anticipated to generate approximately 150 full time employees compared to a more full service casino/resort which might generate several thousand employees for a more full service operation¹. The Applicant, at the 2/22/21 Town Board meeting, referenced a comparable facility in Bethlehem, Pa. and the coordination with the local police department. While a formal economic and sociological impact study may not be necessary for the Proposed Action, it is suggested that the Applicant provide some data from comparably sized facilities, specifically calls for service which could provide some barometer as to potential impact. The Board may also consider the offsetting fiscal effects the Host Community Benefit Agreement payments will have on any potential service increase that the facility may have as the Agreement is being considered as part of the SEQR action.

It is noted that the Proposed Action is supposed to have later hours of operation (12:00 Midnight) compared to the Newburgh Mall (8:00 PM). The Applicant noted in their 2/22/21 presentation that there were a number of existing vacancies (approximately 17) within the Newburgh Mall, and the Proposed Action could be a potential catalyst to repopulate the mall with additional vendors that would provide complementary services (e.g., restaurants and other food establishments). Although a secondary impact, if the Newburgh Mall were to revise its operating hours how would that impact the potential parking demand. Table P-4 in the Maser Report included with the 2/12/21 submission to the Town notes that there are certain times (3:00 to 5:00 PM on Saturdays) where parking demand is close to capacity for the adjusted available parking spaces.

Last, it was noted that the Full EAF Section E.3.h submitted by the Applicant relative to whether the project site was located within five miles of a local scenic or aesthetic resource was marked no. It should be clarified that there is a designated Scenic Area of Statewide Significance associated with the very southern end of the City of Newburgh waterfront, so this box should have been checked yes. Regardless, given the geospatial location between the Proposed Action and the Hudson River waterfront there is no anticipated impact anticipated.

¹ Section II. The Economic and Sociological Impacts of Casino Gambling, https://www.gaming.ny.gov/pdf/Task_Force/Section2.pdf

Site Plan and Related Issues

Although more a site plan issue, in the event that the Proposed Action is a success and leads to the revitalization and complete re-occupancy of the Newburgh Mall would there be enough overall parking to serve the totality of the facility?

As noted in the Applicant's traffic report (Table No. 3A), the Proposed Action is anticipated to generate more than 11,000 total daily person trips on weekdays and almost 15,000 total daily person trips on a Saturday to the Newburgh Mall location. It is suggested that the Applicant provide the Town with an order of magnitude relative to the secondary and tertiary impacts that are induced as a result of these visits on the local economy. As noted above there may be impacts to the Newburgh Mall as currently vacant storefronts lease up and get reoccupied. In addition, with the projected visitation numbers, there will be impacts to other local businesses as a result of additional patronage. These figures can be estimated using industry standard methodology which can also project increases in local employment (this may mean the greater Newburgh community or Orange County in general). It is noted in the recent New York State Gaming Study² that there are projections of the creation of at least 1.3 additional jobs per direct job and \$2.79 additional dollars of revenue for each direct dollar.

It was noted in the Applicants submission materials that the Newburgh Mall closes at 8:00 PM. Is that a selfenforced restriction or is it a restriction from an approval (site plan)? There is reference to the proposed use staying open until 12:00 Midnight? If there is to be some synergy between the two uses (re-occupancy of the 17 currently vacant stores) the Mall might choose to allow stores to remain open longer which potentially impacts parking supply and traffic.

² Gaming markets Study: State of New York – Executive Summary, Spectrum Gamin Group, January 2021, page xviii.

Full Environmental Assessment FormPart 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project : Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land

| 1. | impact on Land | | | |
|----|---|-----------|-------|----------|
| | Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, | \Box NO | | YES |
| | the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) | | | |
| | If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2. | | | |
| | | Relevant | No or | Moderate |

| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet. | E2d | | |
| b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater. | E2f | | |
| c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface. | E2a | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material. | D2a | | |
| e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases. | D1e | | |
| f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides). | D2e, D2q | | |
| g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area. | Bli | | |
| h. Other impacts: | | | |

| The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.</i> | □ NO | | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| ij ies , unswer questions a c. ij ivo , move on to section 5. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: | E2g | | |
| b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: | E3c | | |
| c. Other impacts: | | | |
| 3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4. | □ NC | | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may create a new water body. | D2b, D1h | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water. | D2b | | |
| c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. | D2a | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body. | E2h | | |
| e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments. | D2a, D2h | | |
| f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water. | D2c | | |
| g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s). | D2d | | |
| h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies. | D2e | | |
| i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. | E2h | | |
| j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body. | D2q, E2h | | |
| k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, | D1a, D2d | | |

| 1. Other impacts: | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or □ NO □ YES may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5. | | | | | | |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur | | | |
| a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells. | D2c | | | | | |
| b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: | D2c | | | | | |
| c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services. | D1a, D2c | | | | | |
| d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. | D2d, E21 | | | | | |
| e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated. | D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h | | | | | |
| f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer. | D2p, E2l | | | | | |
| g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources. | E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c | | | | | |
| h. Other impacts: | | | | | | |

| 5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6. | □ NO | | YES |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway. | E2i | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain. | E2j | | |
| c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain. | E2k | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns. | D2b, D2e | | |
| e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding. | D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k | | |
| f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade? | E1e | | |

| g. Other impacts: | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| 6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7. | □ NO | | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane | D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h | | |
| b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants. | D2g | | |
| c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | D2f, D2g | | |
| d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above. | D2g | | |
| e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | D2s | | |
| f. Other impacts: | | | |

| 7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If <i>"Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.</i> | he proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. mq.) | | □ YES |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2o | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government. | E2o | | |
| c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2p | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government. | E2p | | |

| e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect. | E3c | |
|---|-----|--|
| f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: | E2n | |
| g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. | E2m | |
| h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: | E1b | |
| i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. | D2q | |
| j. Other impacts: | | |

| 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. | and b.) | □ NO | □ YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. | E2c, E3b | | |
| b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). | E1a, Elb | | |
| c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. | E3b | | |
| d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. | E1b, E3a | | |
| e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. | El a, E1b | | |
| f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. | C2c, C3, D2c, D2d | | |
| g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | C2c | | |
| h. Other impacts: | | | |

| 9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10. | □ N(| \Box YES | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| If Tes , unswer questions a - g. If No , go to section To. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. | E3h | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. | E3h, C2b | | |
| c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)ii. Year round | E3h | | |
| d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities | E3h E2q, E1c | | |
| e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource. | E3h | | |
| f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile | D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g | | |
| g. Other impacts: | | | |

| The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11. | | | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places. | E3e | | |
| b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory. | E3f | | |
| c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: | E3g | | |

| d Other impacts | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| d. Other impacts: | | | |
| e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3: | | | |
| i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. | E3e, E3g, E3f | | |
| ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. | E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b | | |
| iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting. | E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3 | | |
| 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12. | □ N(| 0 🗆 | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat. | D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. | C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q | | |
| c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. | C2a, C2c E1c, E2q | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. | C2c, E1c | | |
| e. Other impacts: | | | |
| 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13. | | 0 🗆 | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | | |
| c. Other impacts: | | | |

| 13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j) | 5. 🗆 N(| | YES |
|---|---|--|--|
| If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network. | D2j | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles. | D2j | | |
| c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access. | D2j | | |
| d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations. | D2j | | |
| e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods. | D2j | | |
| f. Other impacts: | | | |
| 14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. □ NO □ YES (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15. | | | |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. | D2k | | |
| b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. | D1f, D1q, D2k | | |
| c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. | D2k | | _ |
| | | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. | D1g | | |
| | D1g | | |
| feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: | | | |
| feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: | ting. D NC Relevant Part I Question(s) | | The second secon |
| feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: | ting. D NC Relevant Part I | No, or small impact | □ YES Moderate to large impact may |
| feet of building area when completed. e. Other Impacts: | ting. D NC Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | □ YES Moderate to large impact may occur |

| d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties. | D2n | |
|---|----------|--|
| e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions. | D2n, E1a | |
| f. Other impacts: | | |

| 16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i> | □ No nd h.) | 0 🛛 | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No,or small impact may cccur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. | E1d | | |
| b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. | E1g, E1h | | |
| c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. | E1g, E1h | | |
| d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). | E1g, E1h | | |
| e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. | E1g, E1h | | |
| f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. | D2t | | |
| g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility. | D2q, E1f | | |
| h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. | D2q, E1f | | |
| i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. | D2r, D2s | | |
| j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. | E1f, E1g E1h | | |
| k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures. | E1f, E1g | | |
| 1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site. | D2s, E1f, D2r | | |
| m. Other impacts: | | | |

| 17. Consistency with Community Plans | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) | □ NO | י ם | YES |
| If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18. | | | |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s). | C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b | | |
| b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%. | C2 | | |
| c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations. | C2, C2, C3 | | |
| d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans. | C2, C2 | | |
| e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure. | C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb | | |
| f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure. | C4, D2c, D2d D2j | | |
| g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action) | C2a | | |
| h. Other: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) | □ NO | р — П.У. | YES |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. | □ NO Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | YES Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) | Relevant Part I | No, or small impact | Moderate to large impact may |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.</i> a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. | Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where | Relevant Part I Question(s)E3e, E3f, E3gC4C2, C3, D1f | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized | Relevant Part I Question(s)E3e, E3f, E3gC4C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.</i> a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and | Relevant Part I Question(s)E3e, E3f, E3gC4C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1aC2, E3 | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |