| 1 | | | 1 |
|----|---------------------|---|---|
| 2 | | W YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | In the Matter of | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | PET HOT | EL & DAY CARE FACILITY (2012-19) | |
| 7 | | te 9W, North of Lattintown Road | |
| 8 | Section | 9; Block 3; Lot 22.22 B Zone | : |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | COL | NCEPTUAL SITE PLAN | |
| 11 | | Date December 6, 2012 | |
| 12 | | Time: 7:00 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh | |
| 13 | : | Town Hall 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 | |
| 14 | | Newburgh, NI 12550 | |
| 15 | | JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman FRANK S. GALLI | |
| 16 | C | CLIFFORD C. BROWNE KENNETH MENNERICH | |
| 17 | 5 | THOMAS P. FOGARTY | |
| 18 | | JOHN A. WARD | |
| 19 | I | MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ. BRYANT COCKS | |
| 20 | (| GERALD CANFIELD | |
| 21 | APPLICANT'S REPRESE | ENTATIVE: THOMAS DEPUY | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | <u> </u> | ICHELLE L. CONERO | |
| 24 | | 0 Westview Drive Cill, New York 12589 | |
| 25 | | (845)895-3018 | |
| | | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 2 |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good evening, |
| 3 | | ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to welcome you to |
| 4 | | the Town of Newburgh Planning Board meeting of |
| 5 | | the 6th of December. |
| 6 | | We'll call the meeting to order with a |
| 7 | | roll call vote starting with Frank Galli. |
| 8 | | MR. GALLI: Present. |
| 9 | · · · | MR. MENNERICH: Present. |
| 10 | , , | MR. BROWNE: Present. |
| 11 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present. |
| 12 | | MR. FOGARTY: Here. |
| 13 | | MR. WARD: Present. |
| 14 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: With us this |
| 15 | | evening we have professional consultants who make |
| 16 | | recommendations to the Planning Board, and I'll |
| 17 | | ask that they introduce themselves. |
| 18 | | MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly, |
| 19 | | Planning Board Attorney. |
| 20 | | MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero, |
| 21 | | Stenographer. |
| 22 | | MR. CANFIELD: Jerry Canfield, Town of |
| 23 | | Newburgh. |
| 24 | | MR. COCKS: Bryant Cocks, Planning |
| 25 | | Consultant. |
| | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 3 |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. At this |
| 3 | | point we'll turn the meeting over to Cliff |
| 4 | | Browne. |
| 5 | | MR. BROWNE: Please stand for the |
| 6 | | Pledge. |
| 7 | | (Pledge of Allegiance.) |
| 8 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The first item we |
| 9 | · . | have this evening is the Pet Hotel & Day Care |
| 10 | . • | Facility. It's a conceptual site plan located on |
| 11 | | Route 9W in the B Zone. It's being represented |
| 12 | | by Tom DePuy. |
| 13 | | MR. DEPUY: Middlehope Pet Day Care is |
| 14 | | looking to acquire the 1.6 acre parcel of land |
| 15 | | that's adjacent to their existing facility, and |
| 16 | | they wish to consolidate that and they are |
| 17 | | proposing to build a new 11,500 square foot pet |
| 18 | | hotel and day care facility which it would be |
| 19 | | adjacent to. This is their existing facility |
| 20 | | here and this is the proposed facility here. |
| 21 | | There is a stream that comes down |
| 22 | | through here and kind of severs this piece of |
| 23 | | land. We would access it both from their |
| 24 | | existing driveway, which they share with the vet |
| 25 | | hospital, and we would put a second access point |
| | | |

| | | · |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 4 |
| 2 | | in here with a parking lot here, and then there |
| 3 | | would be a small bridge over that small |
| 4 | | intermittent stream that's there. They would |
| 5 | | access in here and access here. This is a new |
| 6 | | parking lot. |
| 7 | | What they would do is get their water |
| 8 | | service from the Town water system which is |
| 9 | | located out on 9W, and their sewer would pump up |
| 10 | | to what we're proposing as a two-stage sand |
| 11 | | filter which would eventually come back down and |
| 12 | | discharge into the small intermittent stream. |
| 13 | • | Basically the flows are based on 94 dogs is what |
| 14 | | they are proposing. We would have wash down |
| 15 | | water that's involved in the facility itself. |
| 16 | | That's pretty much where all the sewer is coming |
| 17 | | from. |
| 18 | | They would have probably between nine |
| 19 | | to ten employees. |
| 20 | | This is Charlene from the pet hotel. |
| 21 | | And so that would be the load with |
| 22 | | respect to the sewer. And we would propose, |
| 23 | | because of the it's a high flow for the wash |
| 24 | | down water, that's why we have such a large |
| 25 | | treatment facility for the project itself. It's |
| | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 5 |
|------|--------|--|
| 2 | | more of a wash down water than actual domestic |
| 3 | | sewer issue, and that has to be treated. |
| 4 | | MS. SCHAPER: The ten employees are |
| 5 | | only during peak times when the facility will be |
| 6 | | full. |
| 7 | | MR. DEPUY: Stormwater wise we would |
| 8 | | collect the water off the new building. We've |
| 9 | • · | got a proposed rain garden in this area here and |
| . 10 | | then we have a bio-retention basin in the back |
| 11 | | which would give us the water quality treatment |
| 12 | | that we need. |
| 13 | | This water here, we have sheet flowing |
| 14 | | off. We have a proposed filter strip and then we |
| 15 | | have a linear bio-retention trench in here that |
| 16 | | would treat it for water quality. |
| 17 | | I think that's about it for a |
| 18 | | description of the project. |
| 19 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let's start out |
| 20 | | with questions from Planning Board Members. |
| 21 | | Frank Galli? |
| 22 | | MR. GALLI: Just two issues. At the |
| 23 | | workshop I think we asked about the rain garden |
| 24 | | and they said no; right? When we asked Pat about |
| 25 | | the rain garden, didn't he say there wasn't any? |
| | | |

1 PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 6 2 MR. CANFIELD: He did. He did mention 3 in the rear and on the side. 4 MR. DEPUY: This is a rain garden in 5 the front but this is a bio-retention basin in 6 the back. 7 MR. GALLI: The second issue that came 8 up is fire protection. How do you get a fire 9 truck up to the building? They can't cross the 10 pond or the stream. It's only a foot bridge and 11 there's a pretty good size retaining wall, or 12 whatever kind of wall you want to call it, down 13 to the stream. So that was an issue that Jerry will bring up, I'm sure, when we get to Jerry. 14 15 That was just two of the issues -- or one of the 16 issues, actually, that was brought up 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Cliff Browne? 18 MR. BROWNE: One of the things Pat 19 mentioned to us was the water flow up the parking 20 lot area. He thought it was to flow out into the road and you're showing the contours over to the 21 22 side. 23 MR. DEPUY: What happens is we're going 24 to sheet flow it in this direction. We're proposing -- it's on our erosion control and 25

PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 1 7 2 stormwater management. This is going to be a 3 filter strip and then we have a linear 4 bio-retention trench here that would come down 5 and then it would flow back into here and then 6 eventually make its way into the stream. So what 7 happens is the water comes this way, goes into a 8 catch basin, flows there and then discharges in 9 the stream, but it will be treated in this big 10 buffer area here. 11 MR. BROWNE: Your plan is showing you're going to have zero going out to 9W? 12 MR. DEPUY: 13 Right. Right. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Is that it, Cliff? Any other questions? 15 16 MR. BROWNE: No. 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich? 18 MR. MENNERICH: Tom, you mentioned that you could access the pet hotel through the 19 existing lot there. 20 21 MR. DEPUY: Yeah. MR. MENNERICH: Are you going to have 22 23 to take down any buildings or anything to get a 24 roadway in there? 25 MR. DEPUY: No. They share a roadway

| -1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY | } |
|-----|-----|---|---|
| 2 | | with the vet hospital here. This roadway here. | |
| 3 | | MR. MENNERICH: Okay. | |
| . 4 | | MR. DEPUY: So they would be able to | |
| 5 | | access this. This large piece of land will be | |
| . 6 | | accessed at this point and then over here. | |
| 7 | | MR. MENNERICH: But you wouldn't be | |
| 8 | • | able to get from the left side up to the | |
| 9 | | MR. DEPUY: I see the comment on the | |
| 10 | | fire access. We could regrade this in here and | |
| 11 | | maybe put a fire access lane off this corner here | |
| 12 | | up into there. That would probably be what we'd | |
| 13 | · . | have to do. | |
| 14 | | MR. MENNERICH: And is there two | |
| 15 | • | veterinarian hospitals there? | |
| 16 | • | MR. DEPUY: No. The vet hospital is | |
| 17 | | here but this is the existing pet hotel or day | |
| 18 | | care center. | |
| 19 | \$ | MR. MENNERICH: Okay. | |
| 20 | | MR. DEPUY: So when you pull in, the | |
| 21 | | one on the right is the day care center and the | |
| 22 | | one on the left is the vet hospital, and this is | |
| 23 | | the one they're expanding. | |
| 24 | | MR. MENNERICH: Okay. | |
| 25 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The existing. | |
| | | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY | 9 |
|----|-----|---|-----|
| 2 | | Okay. | |
| 3 | | MR. MENNERICH: I think on your drawin | g |
| 4 | | it shows an existing vet hospital on the | |
| 5 | | MR. DEPUY: Maybe that was mislabeled. | |
| 6 | | It says existing pet day care and this is the | |
| 7 | | veterinarian hospital. | |
| 8 | | MR. MENNERICH: Thank you. | . • |
| 9 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Tom Fogarty? | |
| 10 | | MR. FOGARTY: Could you explain a | |
| 11 | | little bit more about the bridge over the stream, | r |
| 12 | | how that's going to be constructed? | |
| 13 | | MR. DEPUY: Right here? | |
| 14 | | MR. FOGARTY: Yup. | |
| 15 | | MR. DEPUY: Basically what we're going | |
| 16 | | to do is we'll have a large we pulled the | |
| 17 | | retaining wall system away from the stream bed | |
| 18 | | itself. It spans quite a distance here. It's | |
| 19 | | mainly to stay out of any environmental sensitive | 3 |
| 20 | | areas, the small stream corridor. The stream | |
| 21 | | itself is a class C. I checked with Doug Godler | |
| 22 | · . | from the DEC, so there's no permitting required | |
| 23 | | or anything from the DEC, but you still have to | |
| 24 | | follow the rules. Basically we're using a large | |
| 25 | | block retaining wall system, one would come down | |

F. 6 6 -

| 1 | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 10 |
|----|---|
| 2 | through here and the other through here, and then |
| 3 | we have a small foot bridge that would span over |
| 4 | those two small retaining wall systems. |
| 5 | MR. FOGARTY: Good. Thank you. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward? |
| 7 | MR. WARD: What are the existing |
| 8 | structures that will be there's a few of them. |
| 9 | MR. DEPUY: There's three. They were |
| 10 | old residences that were on that piece of |
| 11 | property. Basically they've been vacant I think |
| 12 | for ten years now, at least, and they've pretty |
| 13 | much been ransacked through and everything. They |
| 14 | would have to be demolished. I think we have a |
| 15 | separate demolishing plan showing the removal of |
| 16 | all three of those structures. They were |
| 17 | residences at one time. |
| 18 | MR. WARD: In the parking lot you don't |
| 19 | show any lighting all? |
| 20 | MR. DEPUY: Unfortunately that was |
| 21 | we were going to have several lights and we were |
| 22 | going to have some lighting on the sidewalk, too. |
| 23 | I think that was one of the comments about having |
| 24 | a lighting plan. |
| 25 | MR. WARD: We have 16 foot for a |
| | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 1 | 11 . |
|----|---|---|------------|
| 2 | | requirement minimal. | |
| 3 | | MR. DEPUY: Yeah. | |
| 4 | | MR. WARD: All right. Thank you. | |
| 5 | - | MR. DEPUY: Yup. | |
| 6 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield, | |
| 7 | | maybe you could pick up where Frank Galli left | * |
| 8 | | off and Tom Depuy discussing putting a road ther | e |
| 9 | | with access to on what more than likely would be | |
| 10 | | the south side of the building somewhat. | |
| 11 | | MR. CANFIELD: During the work session | , |
| 12 | | like Frank said, we had discussed it. As the | |
| 13 | | site is now, Tom, it does not lend itself to good | d |
| 14 | | firefighting accessibility. A building of this | |
| 15 | | size would more than likely warrant the use of an | a |
| 16 | | aerial device. If you could make an appointment, | , |
| 17 | | we could sit down and discuss, you know what I | |
| 18 | | mean, that proposal to come up around the rear | |
| 19 | | perhaps with a better access road to get a little | e |
| 20 | | closer to the building. | |
| 21 | | MR. DEPUY: Yeah, okay. | • |
| 22 | | MR. CANFIELD: Another point fire | |
| 23 | | protection wise, the building will be required to | 5 . |
| 24 | | be sprinklered. | |
| 25 | | MR. DEPUY: Okay. | |
| | | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 12 |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | MR. CANFIELD: Town of Newburgh has a |
| 3 | | sprinkler ordinance which is more restrictive |
| 4 | | than the State International Building Code. |
| 5 | · · | On the demo of the existing structures |
| 6 | • | on the Moriello site, they'll need permits for |
| 7 | | that of course, independent demo permits. |
| 8 | | MR. DEPUY: All right. |
| 9 | • * | MR. CANFIELD: On the use, there's no |
| 10 | | issue with that. I think Bryant will comment on |
| 11 | | that. That's all we have. |
| 12 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Bryant, you |
| 13 | · | had mentioned earlier this evening about a |
| 14 | | referral to the ZBA. |
| 15 | | MR. COCKS: Yes. The existing pet |
| 16 | • | motel, a front yard setback at 30 feet is shown |
| 17 | | on the plans and 40 is required. Since you're |
| 18 | | combining these lots it loses its nonconforming |
| 19 | | status so you will need a ZBA referral for a |
| 20 | | front yard setback. |
| 21 | | Tom, you did mention that there's going |
| 22 | | to be a drainage area on the north side of the |
| 23 | | site. There is a 30-foot required landscape |
| 24 | | buffer that needs to be shown on the plans. Are |
| 25 | | you going to request a variance for that? |
| | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 13 |
|-----|--------|---|
| 2 | | MR. DEPUY: Well, we wanted we still |
| 3 | | want to landscape that area up. I don't know if |
| 4 | | we need a variance because we're not getting the |
| 5 | • • | full 30 foot because we're using some stormwater |
| 6 | • | management. |
| 7 | | MR. COCKS: That is required. So you |
| 8 | | will need another variance for the 30-foot |
| • 9 | · · · | landscape buffer. I'm sure the ZBA is going to |
| 10 | | ask you to show something. You'll need a |
| 11 | | variance for that because that does need to be |
| 12 | | shown on the plans as 30 feet. |
| 13 | . • | MR. DEPUY: Once I detail that area |
| 14 | | there, and like I say we're going to have a |
| 15 | | bio-retention trench, that does require some |
| 16 | | vegetation planting. I don't think it will |
| 17 | | really meet your buffer requirement to the full |
| 18 | | thing. Okay. |
| 19 | | MR. COCKS: The dumpster location is |
| 20 | | going to need to be shown for the new building. |
| 2.1 | | I don't know if you can fit it in the corner of |
| 22 | | the parking lot, in the top right corner. If you |
| 23 | | can try to squeeze it in there. That will need |
| 24 | | to be fenced and detailed on the site plan sheet. |
| 25 | | MR. DEPUY: Okay. |
| | | |

| 1 | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 14 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. COCKS: You discussed the stream |
| 3 | which I had in the comments. |
| 4 | The EAF should just be revised to show |
| 5 | the interested and involved agencies. Also a set |
| 6 | of the site plan will be sent to them with intent |
| 7 | for lead agency. I have listed the ZBA, the DEC, |
| 8 | Orange County Planning Department, Orange County |
| 9. | Health Department, City of Newburgh for sewer |
| 10 | flow acceptance, the local fire department and |
| 11 | the DOT. |
| 12 | ARB drawings with material and color |
| 13 | samples will be needed at a later date. |
| 14 | As mentioned, a demolition permit will |
| 15 | be required. |
| 16 | As mentioned, the lighting plan will |
| 17 | need to be updated with an iso foot candle |
| 18 | diagram. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can you take the |
| 20 | opportunity to explain to us the operation? You |
| 21 | said 94 dogs. I don't know what that means. I |
| 22 | know what 94 dogs means, but is it like kennels |
| 23 | inside the |
| 24 | MS. SCHAPER: Sure. We're actually a |
| 25 | luxury pet hotel. We are an activity based |
| | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 15 |
|----|----------|---|
| 2 | | facility. We're all about as much activity as we |
| 3 | | can possibly get for the pets. As opposed to |
| 4 | | your standard like chain-link enclosure type |
| 5 | | things, we have actual room enclosures. Our |
| 6 | | hotel currently, the suites that we have for |
| 7 | | them, they have their own beds, there is cable |
| 8 | | TV, there's web cams that owners can access them. |
| 9 | | It is very different. It's totally not like any |
| 10 | | other kennel in the Hudson Valley. And so this |
| 11 | • | new facility is going to be multifunctional for |
| 12 | | us. It will have additional enclosures for us, |
| 13 | • | which are, you know, depending upon the size of |
| 14 | • • | the dog can range up to some of our suites in |
| 15 | | the hotel now are like as large as eight foot by |
| 16 | · . · | eight foot, so they can accommodate multiple dogs |
| 17 | | in the same family, that kind of thing. |
| 18 | | There's also a sizable indoor play area for them. |
| 19 | | We have the few outdoor play areas as |
| 20 | | well and one building that we use for inclement |
| 21 | | weather for them. So this will also have some |
| 22 | | play space in there and a couple other grooming |
| 23 | | areas and office space. That kind of thing. |
| 24 | | MR. FOGARTY: They use office space? |
| 25 | | MS. SCHAPER: They do. These dogs can |
| | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 16 |
|------|-----|--|
| 2 | · | do it all. |
| 3 | | MR. GALLI: Do you get a lot of noise |
| 4 | | complaints? |
| 5 | | MS. SCHAPER: We have not, as far as I |
| 6 | | know, have had any noise complaints. |
| 7 | | MR. CANFIELD: We haven't acted on any. |
| 8 | | MS. SCHAPER: We don't ever allow a dog |
| '9 | | to be outside unattended. Unlike a typical |
| 10 | | kennel where they maybe have an indoor/outdoor |
| 11 | · . | thing and dogs go out and just bark and bark and |
| 12 | | bark, they're outside with staff members playing |
| 13 | | ball or whatever. Honestly, the only noise |
| 14 | | normally is in the morning there is a dog up the |
| 15 | | hill from us that lives in a house, and when our |
| 16 | • | dogs are out he's barking because he wants to |
| 17 | | come down and play. |
| 18 | • | MR. FOGARTY: When I first read this I |
| 19 | | said pet hotel and day care facility. I said |
| 20 | · . | they are going to be mixing dogs and kids. This |
| 21 | | is going to be some project. I'm glad you |
| 22 | | clarified it. |
| - 23 | • | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point I'll |
| 24 | | move for a motion to declare our intent for lead |
| 25 | | agency, to circulate to the Orange County |
| | | |

| 1 | | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 17 | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| 2 | | Planning Department and to have Mike Donnelly | |
| 3 | | present to us the letter being referred to the | |
| . 4 | | ZBA. | |
| 5 | | MR. GALLI: So moved. | |
| 6 | | MR. FOGARTY: Second. | |
| 7 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by | |
| 8 | | Frank Galli, a second by Tom Fogarty. Any | |
| 9 | | discussion of the motion? | |
| 10 | | (No response.) | |
| 11 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a | |
| 12 | | roll call vote starting with Frank Galli. | |
| 13 | | MR. GALLI: Aye. | |
| 14 | | MR. BROWNE: Aye. | |
| 15 | | MR. MENNERICH: Aye. | |
| 16 | | MR. FOGARTY: Aye. | |
| 17 | | MR. WARD: Aye. | |
| 18 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. So | |
| 19 | | carried. | |
| 20 | | Thank you. | |
| 21 | | MR. DONNELLY: The letter will be for | |
| 22 | | an area variance for a front yard setback on the | |
| 23 | | existing building, where new construction is | |
| 24 | | proposed and lots are to be consolidated. And | |
| 25 | • | secondly, an additional variance for landscape | |
| | | | |

| 1 | PET HOTEL & DAY CARE FACILITY 18 | 3 |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | buffer requirements along the north property | |
| 3 | line. | |
| 4 | MR. DEPUY: Can I just bring up one | |
| 5 | other issue? Parking. I think I need a parking | |
| 6 | variance because we couldn't really get it to fit | |
| 7 | into any category. I don't know | |
| 8 | MR. COCKS: I counted for the site as a | |
| 9 | whole and it looks like you have enough | |
| 10 | MR. DEPUY: Okay. | |
| 11 | MR. COCKS: with using the other | |
| 12 | parking lot. I guess I could clear that up. You | |
| 13 | are going to need an access easement for that. | |
| 14 | MR. DEPUY: That actually exists. It | |
| 15 | got frozen on the drawing. There's a 25-foot | |
| 16 | easement that actually comes in on that piece of | |
| 17 | property and accesses that parking lot. That was | |
| 18 | from a previous subdivision. | |
| 19 | MR. COCKS: So maybe a clarification. | |
| 20 | MR. DEPUY: A clarification with | • |
| 21 | respect to parking maybe also. If I need it I | |
| 22 | might as well get it. | |
| 23 | MR. DONNELLY: Actually, the Planning | |
| 24 | Board can adjust the parking requirements based | |
| 25 | upon the nature of the use by the ITT manual. | |
| | | |

| 1 | PET HOTEL & | DAY CARE FACILITY 19 |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2 | | MR. DEPUY: Okay. So then we probably |
| 3 | don't need | d that. |
| 4 | | MR. DONNELLY: I don't think you would |
| 5 | need that | • |
| 6 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: One other motion |
| 7 | I'll make | is to grant conceptual approval for the |
| 8 | pet hotel | and day care facility. |
| 9 | | MR. WARD: So moved. |
| 10 | | MR. MENNERICH: Second. |
| 11 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by |
| 12 | John Ward | . I have a second by Ken Mennerich. |
| 13 | Any discu | ssion of the motion? |
| 14 | | (No response.) |
| 15 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a |
| 16 | roll call | vote starting with Frank Galli. |
| 17 | | MR. GALLI: Aye. |
| 18 | j. | MR. BROWNE: Aye. |
| 19 | | MR. MENNERICH: Aye. |
| 20 | • • | MR. FOGARTY: Aye. |
| 21 | | MR. WARD: Aye. |
| 22 | | CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself. |
| 23 | | Thank you. |
| 24 | | (Time noted: 7:18 p.m.) |
| 25 | | |

| 2 | | |
|----|-------|---|
| 3 | | CERTIFICATION |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | I, Michelle Conero, a Shorthand |
| 8 | | Reporter and Notary Public within and for |
| 9 | • | the State of New York, do hereby certify |
| 10 | | that I recorded stenographically the |
| 11 | | proceedings herein at the time and place |
| 12 | | noted in the heading hereof, and that the |
| 13 | | foregoing is an accurate and complete |
| 14 | | transcript of same to the best of my |
| 15 | | knowledge and belief. |
| 16 | · . | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | DATED | : December 30, 2012 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

MICHELLE L. CONERO - (845)895-3018

Section 9, Block 3, Lots 22.2 & 23 Town of Newburgh

PROJECT NARRATIVE

RANNE, L.L.C. is proposing the consolidation of Tax Map Parcel Section 9, Block 3, Lot 22.2 and 23 consisting of 1.189 acres and 1.612 acres, respectively, for a combined parcel area of 2.801 acres. They propose the development of 11,580 SF building to house the expansion of their pet hotel and dog daycare center. The project is situated on the westerly side of Route 9W 490 feet North of the intersection of US Route 9W and Lattintown Road.

The overall site topography slopes from the westerly side of the property to the northeasterly side of the property and towards the small intermittent stream which traverses the northerly end of the property.

The project will collect the roof stormwater by overland flow and discharge it to a bio-retention basin located adjacent to the building. The proposed parking lot will sheet flow to a 20' wide filter strip and will collect the discharge to the small adjacent stream.

The project will be serviced by the Town of Newburgh water system and obtain its sew age disposal from the development of an on-site treatment facility which will discharge to the small stream that traverses the property. In addition to the building, some additional outside dog runs are also proposed and will be integrated with their existing facility presently located and operating on the property.



McGOEY, HAUSER and EDSALL CONSULTING ENGINEERS P.C.

RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. (NY & PA) WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) JAMES M. FARR, P.E. (NY & PA)

MAIN OFFICE 33 Airport Center Drive

Suite 202 New Windsor, New York 12553

(845) 567-3100 fax: (845) 567-3232 e-mail: mheny@mhepc.com

TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT:PROPOSEDPROJECT NO.:12-19PROJECT LOCATION:SECTION 9, IPROJECT REPRESENTATIVE:TOM DEPUYREVIEW DATE:30 NOVEMBIMEETING DATE:6 DECEMBEI

PROPOSED PET HOTEL AND DAYCARE 12-19 SECTION 9, BLOCK 3, LOT 22.2 TOM DEPUY 30 NOVEMBER 2012 6 DECEMBER 2012

- 1. NYSDOT approval for access to the new parking lot is required.
- 2. Building is required to have a sprinkler system. Water service should be set up pursuant to Town of Newburgh's requirements.
- 3. Easement will be required for access from existing veterinary hospital parcel to Section 22.2 as shared parking exists in this area.
- 4. Zoning Board variances will be required for existing sub standard setbacks. Brian Cocks comments regarding this should be received.
- 5. Jerry Canfield's comments regarding fire access to the proposed structure should be received. It appears no vehicular access to the proposed structure will exist under the current plan due to the stream traversing the site between the parking lot and the structure.
- 6. NYSDEC SPDES permit and Orange County Health Department review of subsurface sanitary sewer disposal system with a surface discharge will be required. Sanitary Sewer system will be referred to those agencies as local agencies cannot approve surface discharge.
- 7. Parking lot pavement section identifies a geo grid soil reinforcement to be utilized retaining wall does not appear to rely on geo grid but rather uses large diameter precast concrete.
- 8. Runoff from the proposed parking lot discharges prior to receiving any water quality treatment. The applicants are requested to evaluate whether the utilization of pervious pavement in this area could be provided to reduce runoff from proposed parking area. Runoff is currently directed

REGIONAL OFFICES

111 Wheatfield Drive • Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 • 570-296-2765 • • 540 Broadway • Monticello, New York 12701 • 845-794-3399 • Town of Newburgh Pet Hospital and Daycare

towards NYSDOT right-of-way which may be a concern of the NYSDOT..

9. Roof drains discharging to the bio-retention and rain gardens proposed on the site such that discharge from roofs are treated in these facilities.

Respectfully submitted,

McGoey, Hauser and Edsall Consulting Engineers, P.C.

Patrick J. Hines, Associate

BC Planning, LLC 555 Route 32, PO Box 489 Highland Mills, New York 10930 (845) 827-5763 Fax: 827-5764 Email: bcocks@frontiernet.net

PROJECT ANALYSIS

MUNICIPALITY: TOWN OF NEWBURGH

TOWN PROJECT NO. 2012-19

PROJECT NAME: Pet Hotel and Day Care Facility
LOCATION: West side of Route 9W, approximately 490 feet north of Lattintown Road (9-3-22.2, 23.0)
TYPE OF PROJECT: Site Plan and ARB for 11,580 sq. ft. building (2.8 ac)
DATE: November 30, 2012

REVIEWING PLANNER: Bryant Cocks

PROJECT SUMMARY:

Approval Status: Submitted November 27, 2012

SEQRA Status: Unlisted

Zone/Utilities: B District/municipal water and individual septic system

Map Dated: October 11, 2012

Site Inspection: November 27, 2012

Planning Board Agenda: December 6, 2012

Consultant/Applicant: Thomas DePuy, PE

Copies have been sent to: John P. Ewasutyn at the Planning Board Office, James Osborne, Gerald Canfield, Michael Donnelly, Patrick Hines, Karen Arent and Ken Wersted on November 30, 2012

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

- The applicant is proposing a pet hotel and day care facility (Veterinarian's Office) on Route 9W in the B Zoning District, an allowable use. The two lots in question will be combined into one lot, although most of the building will be on the existing Moriello lot (lot 23). The Solomons lot (22.2) currently houses one of the existing veterinary hospitals and a kennel, with two fenced in areas for the animals. The adjacent Ranne lot (22.1) houses the other veterinary hospital with the majority of the parking for the existing hospital.
- 2. The existing structure on lot 22.2 does not meet the front yard setback requirement. It is an existing structure but it loses its non-conforming status once the parcels are combined and a new building is constructed. A variance will be required for the front yard setback on the existing building.
- 3. Section 185-21.D.2 of the Zoning Law requires a 30 foot landscape buffer between this use and the existing dwelling on the adjacent Yonnone lot. This buffer will need to be shown on the plan and the trees and plant materials will be required on the landscape plan. The new building does meet the 75 foot separation distance from the residence as required under Section 185-45.A.2.

- 4. The building department will review the interior design of the building to ensure it is soundproof, as required under Section 185-45.A.3. Section 185-45.A.4 requires a double door to prevent escapes by the animals. This will also be reviewed by the building department.
- 5. The applicant will need to show the dumpster location for the new facility, as well as ensuring that the containers are odor proof, as required in Section 185-45.A.5.
- 6. The applicant will need to provide additional information in the EAF regarding threatened and endangered species. The DEC Environmental Resource Mapper must be used to determine if any of the species exist on site, not just professional observation.
- 7. The stream running through the property is tributary to Lattintown Creek. The applicant should confirm this stream is not part of a larger DEC or ACOE wetland. If it is, further permitting or buffer areas could be required.
- 8. The EAF should be revised to show all interested and involved agencies, such as the DOT and Orange County Planning Department.
- 9. There are four existing buildings to be removed before construction; a demolition permit will be required for their removal.
- 10. ARB drawings with material and color samples for the Planning Board's review will be required at a later date. Existing and proposed signage must also be shown, including a signage chart detailing the freestanding sign and any signage on the existing and proposed buildings. The applicant might want to review the amount of signage required for the site before going to the ZBA, as a variance might be required.
- 11. A lighting plan will be required, showing the existing and proposed fixtures, along with an isofootcandle diagram. The proposed fixture is shown at 17 feet high, while the Design Guidelines recommend a 16 foot height. The applicant can either change the fixture or request a waiver of the design guideline from the Planning Board.

The above comments represent my professional opinion and judgment, but may not necessarily, in all cases, reflect the opinion of the Planning Board. Please revise your plans to reflect these comments with the understanding that further changes may be required. In all cases the requirements of the Zoning Law and Subdivision Regulations shall be adhered to by the applicant and shall be shown on the plans. Where variances to the Zoning Law are required or where waivers from the Subdivision Regulations are needed, specific requests shall be made to the Planning Board for waiver or for referral to the ZBA. These comments are prepared based on current zoning and subdivision regulation requirements. Any change in those regulations prior to final approval of these plans could require revisions beyond the scope of my existing comments.

Dickover, Donnelly, Donovan & Biagi, LLP Attorneys and Counselors at Law

James B. Biagi David A. Donovan Michael H. Donnelly Robert J. Dickover 28 Bruen Place P.O. Box 610 Goshen, NY 10924 Phone (845) 294-9447 mai@cddblaw.com Fax (845) 294-6553 (Not for Service of Process)

Successor Law Firm To: Alexander Appelbaum, P.C., Florida, N.Y. (1915-1988) Ludmerer & Vurno, Esgs., Warwick, N.Y.

December 10, 2012

Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

RE: Pet Hotel & Day Care Center (12.19) 9-3-22.2 & 23 (Zone B) Route 9W/Lattintown Road

Members of the Board:

I write to you at the direction of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board. The applicant referenced above appeared before the planning board on December 6, 2012. The applicant proposes new construction for a pet hotel and day care center on a site made up of two lots with an existing non-complying (inadequate front yard setback) building. It appears that the new construction and/or the proposed lot consolidation will cause the protected non-complying building status to cease. Therefore, the planning board has asked that I send you this letter referring this matter for a front yard setback variance to protect the existing non-complying building. In addition, the application requires consideration of a variance for the landscape buffer along the north property line.

The planning board issued its intent to serve as lead agency on December 6, 2012.

Very truly yours,

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY

MHD/lrm

cc: Town of Newburgh Planning Board 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, NY 12550



