Etherind A. Phinis County Engantes

### Orange County Department of Planning

Submittal Form for Mandatory Seriew of Local Planning Action as per NTS General Municipal Law §239-Lim, & m Feieralich Kang maner

This fam is to be completed by the local board having jurisdiction. Submittee from applicants will red be accepted unless coordinated with both the local board having jurisdiction and the County Department of Platering.

Please include all malerials that are part of a "full statement" as defined by MYS GNL \$239-m (i.e. "all materials required by and submitted to the relearing body as an application on a proposed action").

NEW COLUMN	M (C 37 1 1 7	
Municipality:	Town of Newburgh	Tag 图 # 97-2-30.1
Local Releating Board:	Zoning Board of Appeals	Tax Map # 91-2-30,22
Applicant:	MARtin MilANO	Tax Map# 97-2-33
Project Name:	HAMPOTON INN & DINER SUBDIVISION	Local File No.
Location of Project Sile:	1292 Route 300	Size of Parcel": 5.1/3.2/?
		"I'mwe man one pantel please molude
Reason for County		Suriofallpares. CurentZoring
Flexican: ON N	Ys Route 300	Disinia (include
Type of Review:		any overlays): LEB
umae di nemen. O Gampaehensine P	lan Updale Wdoption	
O Zoning Amendrises	ii.	
	Zoning District Change fromto	
Li locallaw	Ontinance Modification (cite section):	
	Sq. feet proposed (non-residential coly):	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		TCH/PRELM/FINAL (cide pre)
	Number of lots progressed:	
Paris	Which approved is the applicant expends section?	TCH/PRELIM/FMAL (cire one)
U Special Use Penni U Lot Line Change		
	MREA) USE (Girds one) LOT#1 REARVARD SET	- RANK OLL STATE
Li Citier	The second secon	BACK 4 LOT#2-REAR FOR PROPOSED SUBDIVISION
ls this an update to a pre	viously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle one)	TOTAL PROPERTY AND INVITED TO
Local board comments		
or elaboration:		
	Cha:	irperson,
		ing Board of Appeals
Syramer	f local official Cafe	The
Municipal Contact Phone	845 566-4901	
If you would like the appli	cant to be ce'd on this letter, please provide the applicant's :	address.
·		

Please return, along with full statement, to: Orange County Dept. of Planning 124 Main St.Goshen, NY 10924 Question or comments, call: 945-615-3940 or email: planning@vangecountygou.com

ARRA

### TOWN OF NEWBURGH

\_Crossroads of the Northeast \_\_\_\_\_

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

OLD TOWN HALL 308 GARDNERTOWN ROAD NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

### APPLICATION

Office Of Zoning Board (845) 566-4901

DATED: October 10 , 2013

TO: THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

I(WE)_	Martin Milano	PRESENTLY
RESIDI	NG AT NUMBER 6 Rive	ers Edge, Newburgh, NY 12550
TELEPH	HONE NUMBER(845)	567-9100
HEREB THE FO	Y MAKE APPLICATION TO TI LLOWING:	HE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS FOR
	A t	JSE VARIANCE
	X AN	AREA VARIANCE
	IN	TERPRETATION OF THE ORDINANCE
	SPI	ECIAL PERMIT
1. L	OCATION OF THE PROPERTY Section 97; Block 2;	':
I	ots 30.1, 30.22, 33	_ (TAX MAP DESIGNATION)
1	292 Route 300 ewburgh, NY 12550	
<del>1.1</del>		_(GINEEI ADDRESS)
tan qanga	1B	_(ZONING DISTRICT)
N)	UMBER; DO NOT QUOTE THE	AW APPLICABLE, (INDICATE THE F THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE BY LAW).
A		f Use and Bulk Requirements strict Schedule 8

#11 Column "A" - Accessory Uses: Restaurants - required 60' setback. Applicant's existing restaurant has a 47.1' rear setback. This requires a variance.

#12 Column "D" - Uses subject to Site Plan Approval  $_{2}$ Hotels require 60' rear setback. Applicant's existing hotel has a 49.5' rear setback. This requires a variance.

3.	IF VA	RIANCE TO THE ZONING LAW IS REQUESTED:
	a)	APPEAL IS MADE FROM DISAPPROVAL BY THE TOWN BUILDING INSPECTOR OR BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION, SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED:
	b)	OR DENIAL (REFERRAL) BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH OF AN APPLICATION TO THE BOARD, SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED: Sept. 9, 2013 correspondence from Planning Board Counsel
	DESC	Michael H. Donnelly, Esq. RIPTION OF VARIANCE SOUGHT:
		See Attached Memorandum
•	ZONII	USE VARIANCE IS REQUESTED: STRICT APPLICATION OF THE NG LAW WOULD PRODUCE UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IN THAT:  UNDER APPLICABLE ZONING REGULATIONS THE APPLICANT IS DEPRIVED OF ALL ECONOMIC USE OR BENEFIT FROM THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum
		(ATTACH WITH THIS APPLICATION COMPETENT FINANCIAL EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING SUCH DEPRIVATION)
	b)	THE HARDSHIP IS UNIQUE AND DOES NOT APPLY TO A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum
	c)	THE VARIANCE WOULD NOT ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum

/	THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF-CREATED BECAUSE: See Attached Memorandum
IF AN	AREA VARIANCE IS REQUESTED:
a)	THE VARIANCE WILL NOT PRODUCE AN UNDESIRABLE CHANGE IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR A DETRIMENT TO NEARBY PROPERTIES BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum
b)	THE BENEFIT SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT CAN NOT BE ACHIEVED BY SOME METHOD, FEASIBLE FOR THE APPLICANT TO PURSUE, OTHER THAN AN AREA VARIANCE, BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum
c)	THE REQUESTED AREA VARIANCE IS NOT SUBSTANTIAL BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum
d)	THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL NOT HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT OR IMPACT ON THE PHYSICAL OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR DISTRICT BECAUSE:  See Attached Memorandum
e)	THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF CREATED BECAUSE: See Attached Memorandum
	IF AN a) b) d)

See Attached
Mat Mile
PÉTITIONER (S) SIGNATURE MARTIN MILANO
1.WICE TIA LITERAL
STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF ORANGE:
SWORN TO THIS 10th DAY OF October 2013
James M. Formar
NOTARY PUBLIC
LAURA M. FORMAN  Notary Public, State Of New York  Qualified in Orange County  Registration #01F06055671  Commission Expires March 5, 2015

NOTE: NYS GML Section 239-m (3) for proposed actions that are within 500 feet of the properties or thresholds listed in the statute the Zoning Board of Appeals is required to send a copy of the complete application to the Orange County Department of Planning to be reviewed prior to Zoning Board of Appeals decision. And also NYS GML Section 239-NN requires notification for any proposed actions, to the Municipal Clerk, within 500 feet of the Border of that adjoining County, Town or City.

### TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

### PROXY

Martin Milano	, DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT
HE/SHE RESIDES AT 6 Rivers	Edge Newburgh, NY 12550
IN THE COUNTY OF	AND STATE OF
AND THAT HE/SHE IS THE OWNER	IN FEE OF
TAX LOTS 97-2-30.1; 97-2	2-30.22; 97-2-33
WHICH IS THE PREMISES DESCRIB	SED IN THE FOREGOING APPLICA-
	HORIZED Catania, Mahon, Milligram & Rider, PLLC
TO MAKE THE FOREGOING APPLIC	CATION AS DESCRIBED THEREIN.
DATED: October 10, 2013	mat ml
Melani Rause	OWNER'S SIGNATURE MARTIN MILANO
WITNESS' SIGNATURE	
STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF	
Laura M Formar	
\(\frac{1}{2}\)	NOTARY PUBLIC
I ALIBÀ M FORMAN	

Notary Public, State Of New York
Qualified in Orange County
Registration #01F06055671
Commission Expires March 5

## ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION OF MARTIN MILANO FOR AREA AND USE VARIANCES

I

### **NARRATIVE SUMMARY**

On or about August 21, 2013, Martin Milano (the "Applicant") applied to the Town of Newburgh Planning Board for approval to revise the existing lot lines with respect to Tax Lots 97-2-30.1, 30.22 and 33. These contiguous lots are located adjacent to New York State Route 300. Lot 30.1 contains the currently vacant Gateway Diner and the Hampton Inn hotel. The application to the Planning Board specifically proposed to dissolve the existing lot line between Lots 97-2-33 and 97-2-30.1, and to revise the lot line between 97-2-30.1 and 97-2-30.22. The resulting lot line revisions would create two (2) lots. Proposed Lot #1 (+/- 1.93 acres) would contain the existing diner, and proposed Lot 2 (+/-6.66 acres) would contain the existing hotel.

This +/- 8.6 acre site is located within the IB (Interchange Business) Zoning District. The Hampton Inn hotel and the restaurant (the "diner") were constructed during the early 1990's, and those structures comply with all then-existing, and current zoning rules and regulations, and all proper permits for the same have been obtained from the Town of Newburgh.

The proposed project will require variances due to the locations of the existing facilities on the proposed lots and due to current code requirements. This has been confirmed by counsel for the Planning Board, Michael Donnelly, Esq., who drafted a letter dated September 9, 2013, on behalf of the Planning Board, referring the Applicant to the Zoning Board of Appeals to obtain certain required area and use variances. Pursuant to the town code, within the IB zoning district, a 60' rear yard setback is required. The diner on proposed lot #1 is located approximately 47.1' from the rear property line, and the hotel on proposed lot #2 is located approximately 49.5' from the rear property line. The Applicant requests that this Board grant two (2) area variances: one on proposed lot #1 of approximately 12.9', and one on proposed lot #2 of approximately 10.5' such that the property will be within the required rear yard setbacks.

Also, in its referral letter of September 9, 2013, the Planning Board has requested that the Applicant apply for variances from Section 185-27(c)(1) of the Town Code, which requires that a hotel site have its principle frontage on a state or county highway, and from Section185-27 of the Town Code, to allow the restaurant (diner) as a principle use on a stand-alone lot in the IB Zoning District. The restaurant is currently approved as an accessory use to the Hampton Inn.

Other than the modification of the existing lot lines, the applicant will not be making any physical modifications to the property or existing buildings, and the attached Environmental Assessment Form demonstrates that there will be no physical impact to, or adverse impact on the environment as a result of this request.

To render its decision with respect to the area variances, this board must consider all of the factors required by the New York State Town Law. The law requires that a zoning board of appeals take into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant. In making such determination the board shall also consider: (1) whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance; (2) whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance; (3) whether the requested area variance is substantial; (4) whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and (5) whether the alleged difficulty was self-created; which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the board of appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

The following is respectfully submitted to guide this board's determination of the within application.

II

### THE AREA VARIANCES

# THE REQUESTED AREA VARIANCES WILL NOT PRODUCE AN UNDESIREABLE CHANGE IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR BE A DETERMIMENT TO NEARBY PROPERTIES

The instant application will result in no physical changes being made to the structures on the property, and they will remain as they have existed for decades. Inasmuch as no physical changes will result from the lot line modifications, the variances will have no effect on the character of the neighborhood and will have no detrimental effects on neighboring properties.

### THE BENEFIT SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT CANNOT BE ACHIEVED BY SOME METHOD, FEASIBLE FOR THE APPLICANT TO PURSUE, OTHER THAN THE AREA VARIANCE

The application for the rear yard variances cannot be achieved by another feasible means. As stated above, the hotel and restaurant were constructed in the early 1990's. It is not feasible to modify the buildings, as constructed, in order to accommodate the code's rear yard setbacks. Again, no physical alteration of the existing structures is proposed.

### THE REQUESTED VARIANCES ARE NOT SUBSTANTIAL

Two area variances are before the board; one for a variance of  $\pm$ 12.9' (60'  $\pm$ 47.1'), and one for a variance of  $\pm$ 10.5' (60'  $\pm$ 49.5'). The 12.9' rear yard modification is the equivalent of

a 21.5% variance from the code. The 10.5' rear yard modification is the equivalent of a 17.5% variance from the code. These are not statistically significant variances. Again, most importantly, the structures will not change and their locations on the lot will not change. They will continue to exist as they have for decades. The only difference is that the proposed lot line modifications will result in a change in what is considered the "rear yard" under the town code, resulting in the need for the variances.

# THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL NOT HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT OR IMPACT ON THE PHYSICAL OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR DISTRICT

Since the proposed lot line changes will not result in any physical change to the existing structures or their current uses, the proposed variances will have no adverse environmental effects, and they will have no impact on the existing neighborhood conditions. The short environmental assessment form attached hereto further confirms that the variances will result in no adverse environmental impacts.

### THE ALLEGED DIFFICULTY WAS NOT SELF-CREATED

This board must determine whether the alleged difficulty was self-created. In this case, it can be argued that the hardship is self-created in that it arises out of the request to modify the lot lines for the property. In truth, however, the motivation for the requested variances is to make that portion of the parcel containing the diner more attractive, both aesthetically and economically, to a potential lessee. The use and intensity of the use of the adjacent lot has changed dramatically since the diner and hotel were constructed. In the past several years, the Town Planning Board has approved the development of at least five additional restaurants on the parcel adjoin the diner site, such as Chili's, Longhorn, Panera, Starbuck's and Five Guys. The variances are requested so that an appropriate, economically-qualified tenant can continue the currently approved restaurant use. Stated differently, the variances are required so that the diner structure will not continue to remain vacant. Moreover, as this board is aware, even if it finds that the difficulty was self-created, that factor alone is insufficient to deny the requested variances.

 $\mathbf{III}$ 

### THE USE VARIANCES

Two of the variances sought are use variances. To render its decision with respect to the use variances, this board must consider whether the property can realize a reasonable financial return; whether the hardship is unique to the property; whether the variance will alter the character of the neighborhood; and whether the hardship was self-created.

# THE PROPERTY CANNOT REALIZE A REASONABLE FINANCIAL RETURN WITHOUT THE NEEDED VARIANCES AND THE HARDSHIP IS UNIQUE TO THE PROPERTY

The application to the Planning Board to vary the lot lines is made based primarily upon economic considerations caused by the current recession, and upon recent build out pursuant to the town's zoning code, that has permitted large scale development on the lots adjacent to the pre-existing Gateway Diner and Hampton Inn hotel. In the past several years, the Town Planning Board has approved the development of the adjoining parcel, which has resulted in the construction of several "national chain restaurants", such as Chili's, Longhorn, Panera, Starbuck's and Five Guys. Over time, this increased intensity of use of the adjoining parcel contributed to the Gateway Diner going out of business, and to the loss of more than fifty (50) local residents becoming unemployed. The facts demonstrate that these recent changes have, at least in part, caused the restaurant to continue become vacant, and an eyesore to the Newburgh community, despite the Applicant's attempts to aggressively market the restaurant (diner) building for a new tenant. Stated differently, the ability to continue the restaurant use as an accessory use to the hotel has diminished greatly.

The Applicant has been hampered in his attempts to lease the premises due to several critical factors. Namely, since the restaurant is located on the same parcel as the hotel, any financing that a new tenant wishes to obtain for the reconstruction, refurbishment or remodeling of the structure must be approved by the hotel's lenders. Due to the tightening of credit requirements, and increased regulation of mortgage financing, the Applicant's attempts to lease the space have been futile. Moreover, the Applicant has been required to pay outstanding water charges attributable to the diner, which, when added to the Applicant's other real property taxes, has resulted in the Applicant paying in excess of \$65,000 in taxes per year on the parcel as a whole. The proposal to segregate the restaurant to its own parcel will alleviate these economic concerns, and will also allow the lot to be taxed separately for tax purposes.

The hardship is unique to this property. Since the hotel and diner were constructed, several stand-alone restaurants have been approved and constructed within the town. These include Denny's, Perkin's Pancake House, the Neptune Diner and Cosimo's restaurant. Whatever the original intent of the town code was, to prohibit stand-alone restaurants, it is clear that the town's practice is now to approve such restaurants, and the same approval should similarly be afforded to this applicant.

### THE VARIANCE WILL NOT ALTER THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD

The instant application will result in no physical changes being made to the structures on the property, and they will remain as they have existed for decades. Inasmuch as no physical changes will result from the lot line modifications, the variances will have no effect on the character of the neighborhood and will have no detrimental effects on neighboring properties.

#### THE HARDHSHIP WAS NOT SELF-CREATED

This board must determine whether the alleged difficulty was self-created. In this case, it can be argued that the hardship is self-created in that it arises out of the request to modify the lot lines for the property. In truth, however, the motivation for the requested variances is to make that portion of the parcel containing the diner more attractive, both aesthetically and economically, to a potential lessee. The use of the adjacent lot has changed dramatically since the diner was approved. In the past several years, the Town Planning Board has approved the development of at least five additional restaurants on the parcel adjoin the diner site, such as Chili's, Longhorn, Panera, Starbuck's and Five Guys. The variances are requested so that an appropriate, economically-qualified tenant can continue the currently approved restaurant use. Stated differently, the variances are required so that the diner structure will not continue to remain vacant. Moreover, as this board is aware, even if it finds that the difficulty was self-created, that factor alone is insufficient to deny the requested variances.

# 617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

### **Instructions for Completing**

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information		•			
Name of Action or Project:				wo.co.	
Hampton Inn Newburgh					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):				<del></del>	
1292 Route 300, north of the Route 300/Route 17K intersection (eastern s	side)				
Brief Description of Proposed Action:	The transfer of the transfer o				
The applicant proposes to dissolve the existing lot line between Lots 97-2-97-2-30.22. The resulting lot line revisions will create two lots. Proposed I 2 (±6.66 acres) would contain the existing hotel.	-33, 97-2-30.1, a Lot 1 (±1.93 acr	and revise the lot line bet es) would contain the exi	ween 97-2-3 sting diner a	30.1 and ind Propo	osed Lot
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:		Telephone: 0.42 ===			
Martin Milano		Telephone: 845-567-9			·
		E-Mail: <sub>MM92NY@aol</sub>	.com		
Address: 1292 Route 300					
City/PO:	****	State:	17:	p Code:	
Newburgh State: Zip				•	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption	n of a plan, loc	al law, ordinance,		NO	YES
administrative rule, or regulation?  If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed			urces that		
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no,	, continue to q	uestion 2.	ar cos mai		L
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding	ng from any of	her governmental Ag	ency?	NO	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: Town of Newburgh - Zoning Board of Appeals (Variance Requests) & Plar	nning Board (Lo	Line Revision)			V
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		±8.5 acres			1
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) or or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	wned	±8.5 acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the prop					
Urban Rural (non-agriculture) Industrial		cial Residential (	suburban)		
☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Parkland	☐Other (sp	ecify):			

RESET.

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	<b>V</b>		П
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural	Landrami	NO	YES
landscape?			1
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental At	rea?	NO	YES
If Yes, identify:		1	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		<u></u>	
			$\checkmark$
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed act	ion?		<b>✓</b>
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?  If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:		NO	YES
Both the structures on-site are pre-existing, no building modifications are being conducted as part of this application		П	П
10 1011		L	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			
Both structures are currently serviced by municipal water		<u> </u>	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:		П	
Both structures are currently serviced by municipal sewer			
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?		NO	YES
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		1	
		$\checkmark$	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	1	NO	YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	}		
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			
		5	
14. Identify the trained helicity at the second sec			
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check a Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-succession		pply:	
☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed		NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?			<b>V</b>
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
17 Will the proposed estimate the state of t		$\sqrt{}$	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes,	-	NO	YES
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?		V	Ш
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains	s)?		
If Yes, briefly describe:			

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?		
If Yes, explain purpose and size:	[•	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	O YES
If Yes, describe:	_   <u>[</u>	$Z   \Box  $
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing completed) for hazardous waste?	or NO	O YES
If Yes, describe:		
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO T	THE BES	T OF MY
Applicant/sponsor name: Mat Mul  Signature:	2013	<u> </u>
Signature:		· ·
Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2. Answer questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	sponsor of	r
SIN imm	o, or mall mpact hay ccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
SIN imm	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
sn in m oc    1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
sm im m occ  1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?  7. Will the proposed action impact existing:	mall mpact nay	to large impact may
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?  2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?  3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?  4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?  5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?  6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?  7. Will the proposed action impact existing:  a. public / private water supplies?	mall mpact nay	to large impact may

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?		
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?		
Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Paquestion in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to exelement of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determay or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probaduration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, cumulative impacts.	cplain why a blease comp t have been i mined that the bility of occ	particular lete Part 3. included by he impact curring,

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.  Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.				
Name of Lead Agency Date				
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer			
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)			

PRINT

Page 4 of 4

RESET



# Dickover, Donnelly, Donovan & Biagi, LLP Attorneys and Counselors at Law

James B. Biagi David A. Donovan Michael H. Donnelly Robert J. Dickover

Successor Law Firm To: Alexander Appelbaum, P.C., Florida, N.Y. (1915-1988) Ludmerer & Vurno, Esqs., Warwick, N.Y. 28 Bruen Place
P.O. Box 610
Goshen, NY 10924
Phone (845) 294-9447
mail@dddblaw.com
Fax (845) 294-6553
(Not for Service of Process)

September 9, 2013

Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

RE: Hampton Inn

97-2-30.1, 30.22 & 33 (Zone IB)

1262 Route 300 (13.14)

Members of the Board:

I write to you on behalf of and at the direction of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board. The above referenced applicant appeared before the planning board during its meeting of September 5, 2013. The applicant proposes to subdivide two existing parcels of land in a fashion that will merge one of those parcels (a small strip of land located along Route 300) with an existing (although long abandoned) diner site, while subdividing the diner site off from an existing Hampton Inn. For the planning board to approve the proposed subdivision a number of variances will be required. The planning board wishes me to list these variances and to refer to you the applicant's request for consideration of granting same:

- 1. A rear yard setback variance for Lot #1 (60 feet required, 47.1 feet provided);
- 2. A rear yard setback variance for Lot #2 (60 feet required, 49.5 feet provided);
- 3. A variance from the requirement of Section 185-27(C)(1) that a hotel and motel site have its principal frontage on a State or County Highway. The reconfigured subdivision will remove the existing frontage of the hotel / motel with accessory restaurant site;
- 4. A use variance to allow a restaurant use as a principal use on a lot in the IB Zoning District. By subdividing the diner lot from the motel lot the authorization under Section 185-27 for restaurant uses as accessory to a hotel or motel use is lost.

The planning board has no particular information to bring to your attention regarding this application. The planning board suggests that you conduct your SEQRA review of this application on an uncoordinated review basis.

Very truly yours,

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY

### MHD/lrm

cc: Town of Newburgh Planning Board 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, NY 12550

> David A. Donovan, Esq. Dickover, Donnelly, Donovan & Biagi, LLP P.O. Box 610 Goshen, NY 10924

Andrew B. Fetherston, P.E., CPESC Maser Consulting, P.A. 1607 Route 300, Suite 101 Newburgh, NY 12550

### ORANGE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE RECORDING PAGE

THIS PAGE IS PART OF THE INSTRUMENT - DO NOT REMOVE

were ro	314 8 PP /AL	AP 84 881	M 100	~~~ ~ = 1 = 0 mm = 1 mm	
1145	Name(2)	UF PANTY	(5) 10	DOCUMENT:	<b>BLACK INK</b>

MARTIN MILANO V 92 M.M. MOTEL, INC.

92 M.M. MOTEL, INC.

SECTION 97 BLOCK 2

RECORD AND RETURN TO:
(Name and Address)

THIS IS PAGE ONE OF THE RECORDING ATTACH THIS SHEET TO THE FIRST PAGE OF EACH RECORDED INSTRUMENT ONLY

CHARLES E. FRANKEL, ESQ. P.D. BOX 2280 NEWBURGH, NY 12550

tyres (Single-realized)	DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE									
INSTRU	INSTRUMENT TYPE: DEEDMORTGAGE SATISFACTION ASSIGNMENT OTHER									
PRO 2085 2001 2289 2201 2489 2401 2600 2800 3089 3001 3005 3200 3489 3401 3689 3601 3889	PERTY LOCATION  BLOOMING GROVE (TN)  CHESTER (TN) CHESTER (VLG) CORNWALL (TN) CORNWALL (VLG) CRAWFORD (TN) DEERPARK (TN) GOSHEN (VLG) FLORIDA (VLG) CHESTER (VLG) GREENVILLE (TN) MAYBROOK (VLG) HIGHLANDS (TN) HIGHLAND FALLS (VLG) MINISINK (TN) UNIONVILLE (VLG) MONROE (TN) MONROE (VLG)	4289 4201 4203 4205 4489 4401 4600 5089 5000 5489 6401 5403 5403 5600 5889 5801	MONTGOMERY (TN)  MAYBROOK (VLG)  MONTGOMERY (VLG)  WALDEN (VLG)  MOUNT HOPE (TN)  OTISVILLE (VLG)  NEWBURGH (TN)  NEW WINDSOR (TN)  TUXEDO (TN)  TUXEDO PARK (VLG)  WALLKILL (TN)  WARWICK (TN)  FLORIDA (VLG)  WARWICK (VLG)  WARWICK (VLG)  WARWICK (TN)  HARRIMAN (VLG)  ES  IIDDLETOWN	NO. PAGES CROSS REF CERT. COPY ADD'L X-REF  MAP # PGS.  PAYMENT TYPE: CHECK CASH CHARGE NO FEE  CONSIDERATION \$ TAX EXEMPT  MORTGAGE AMT \$ DATE  MORTGAGE TAX TYPE:  (A) COMMERCIAL/FULL 1%  (B) 1 OR 2 FAMILY  (C) UNDER \$10,000  (E) EXEMPT  (F) 3 TO 6 UNITS  (I) NAT. PERSON/CR. UNION						
4005		1300 P		(J) NAT.PER-CR.UN/1 OR 2						
·	Dorna f. Braon DONNA L. BENSON Orange County Clerk	9999 Ho	OLD RECEIVED FROM: _	LIBER 5344 PAGE 343						

STATE OF NEW YORK (COUNTY OF ORANGE) SS: I. DONNA L. BENSON, COUNTY CLERK AND CLERK OF THE SUPREME AND COUNTY COURTS, GRANGE COUNTY, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE COMPARED THIS COPY WITH THE ORIGINAL THEREOF FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE ON 8-7-00 AND THE SAME IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT THEREOF. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND AFFIXED MY OFFICIAL SEAL.

ours d. Bonson 10-4-13

COUNTY CLERK & CLERK OF THE SUPREME COUNTY COURTS. **ORANGE COUNTY** 

LIBER 5344 PAGE 343

ORANGE COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE 43981 MRL RECORDED/FILED 08/07/2000 02:48:54 PM 48.00 EDUCATION FUND SERIAL NUMBER: 000246 DEED CNTL NO 60410 RE TAX

### QUITCLAIM DEED (INDIVIDUAL)

### STATUTORY FORM D

THIS IS A LEGALLY BINDING INSTRUMENT. IF NOT FULLY UNDERSTOOD, WE RECOMMEND ALL PARTIES TO THE INSTRUMENT CONSULT AN ATTORNEY BEFORE SIGNING.

THIS INDENTURE, made the 12 x day of July, nineteen hundred and ninety-nine,

between MARTIN MILANO, with an address at 475 N. Riverside Road, Highland, New York 12528, and 92 M.M. MOTEL, INC., with an address at 475 N. Riverside Road, Highland, New York 12528,

parties of the first part,

and 92 M.M. MOTEL, INC., with an address at 475 N. Riverside Road, Highland, New York 12528, party of the second part:

WITNESSETH, that the party of the first part, in consideration of Ten (\$10.00) dollars, lawful money of the United States, and other good and valuable consideration, paid by the party of the second part, does hereby certain, plot, piece, or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York bounded and described as set forth at Schedule A annexed hereto and made a part hereof,

together with the appurtenances and all the estate and rights of the party of the first part in and to said premises.

The purpose of this deed is to combine the premises described in the following two deeds into a single unified parcel: (a) Deed from the Estate of Mary Palmerone, et al, to Martin Milano, dated May 29, 1997, and recorded in the Orange County Clerk's Office on August 12, 1997 in Liber 4614 of deeds at page 4 and (b) combine the property described in a deed from Martin Milano to 92 M.M. Motel, Inc. dated April 2,1996 and recorded in the Orange County Clerk's Office on April 18, 1996 in Liber 4371 of deeds at page

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the premises herein granted unto the party of the second part, his heirs, successors, and assigns forever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand and seal the day and year first above written.

Martin Milano

92 M.M. MOTEL, INC.

By: Marten had

NYSBA PRACTICE FORMS 3/98

RE067.09

- I -

LIBER 5344 PAGE 344

STATE OF NEW YORK	)	ss.:	
COUNTY OF ORANGE	)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Martin Milano, personally know whose name is subscribed to the	wn to me e within are on th	or proved to me on the basis of instrument and acknowledged to e instrument, the individual, or p	and for said State, personally appeared satisfactory evidence to be the individual one that he executed the same in his terson upon behalf of which the
		Mount Pulminen (Motary Public)	CHERYL R. CHURNEY Notary Public, State of New York Qualified in Orange County Registration #01CH4960202 Commission Expires December 18, 1993
STATE OF NEW YORK	) )	Ss.:	

On July 109, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Martin Milano, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument, the individual, or person upon behalf of which the individual acted, executed the instrument.

Notary Public

CHERYL R. CHURNEY
Notary Public, State of New York
Qualified in Orange County
Registration #01CH4960202
Commission Expires December 18,

RE067.09

NYSBA PRACTICE FORMS 3/98

LIBER 5344 PAGE 345

#### SCHEDULE A

All that certain plot, piece, or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York bounded and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the easterly line of State Highway Route #300, Union Avenue, said Point being in the division line between lands now or formerly Palmerone on the south (Liber 2009, Page 1113) and lands now or formerly 92 M.M. Motel, Inc. on the north and running thence:

THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF STATE HIGHWAY ROUTE #300, UNION AVENUE:

- 1. North 24° 37' 52" East 420.69' to a point, thence
- 2. North 44° 26' 44" East 11.71' to a point, thence
- North 21° 30′ 40″ East 27.19′ to a point marked by a concrete monument found in lands now or formerly People of the State of New York, New York State Thruway Authority; thence:

THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES ALONG LANDS NOW OR FORMERLY PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK AS FOLLOWS:

- 4. South 42° 36' 11" East 15.76' to a concrete monument found, thence
- 5. South 28° 07' 45" East 171.48' to an iron rod set, thence
- 6. South 66° 24' 26" East 189.02' to an iron rod set, thence
- 7. South 88° 30' 12" East 183,15' to an iron rod set, thence
- North 54° 36' 26" East 159.67' to an iron rod set in the lands now or formerly Milano, thence
- Along lands now or formerly Milano South 24° 29' 38" West 561.26' to an iron rod set in the lands now or formerly Palmerone, thence
- Along lands now or formerly Palmerone North 65° 30' 22" West 592.07' to the Beginning Point.

## ORANGE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE RECORDING PAGE THIS PAGE IS PART OF THE INSTRUMENT - DO NOT REMOVE

Lena Mengic Hi (k. si Many Malmarene, L. si as Incorte of Gregor Paris Contorna Parister (k. s) Davic e Rider as Exter a Parister in the	of Palpunice at Sitors for many offi where we mangioth  g gran.	BLOCK 2 LOT 30.1					
THERE IS NO FEE FOR THE RECORDING OF	FTHIS PAGE OUT TO THE	anthone 11 parrace RC					
		P. O P. 26					
ATTACH THIS SHEET TO THE FIRST PAGE							
RECORDED INSTRUMENT CHLY	High in it	ij 14. 12528					
	DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS I	INE					
INSTRUMENT TYPE: DEED / MOR							
PROPERTY/ COATION	SATISFACTION	ASSIGNMENT OTHER					
PROPERTY LOCATION							
2089 BLOOMING GROVE (TN)	4289 MONTGOMERY (TN)	NO. PAGES 5 CHOSS REF					
2001 WASHINGTONVILLE VLG)		CERT, COPYAFFT, FILED					
2289 CHESTER (TN)	4203 MONTGOMERY (VLG)						
2201 CHESTER (VLG)	4205 WALDEN (VLG)	PAYMENT TYPE: CHECK					
2489 CORNWALL (TN)	4489 MOUNT HOPE (TN)	CASH					
2401 CORNWALL (VLG)		CHARGE					
2600 CRAWFORD (TN)	4600 NEWBURGH (TN)	NO FEE					
2800 DEERPARK (TN)	4800 NEW WINDSOR (TN)	··········					
3089 GOSHEN (TN)	5089 TUXEDO (TN)	CONSIDERATION \$ 100 0000					
3001 GOSHEN (VLG)	5001 TUXEDO PARK (VLG)	TAX EXEMPT					
3003 FLORIDA (VLG)	5200 \VALLKILL (TN)	7					
3005 CHESTER (VLG)	5489 WARWICK (TN)	MORTGAGE AMT \$					
3200 GREENVILLE (TN)	5401 FLORIDA (VLG)	DATE 1-30.96					
3489 HAMPTONBURGH (TN)	5403 GREENWOOD LAKE (VL	G)					
3401 MAYBROOK (VLG)	5405 WARWICK (VLG)	MORTGAGE TYPE:					
3689 HIGHLANDS (TN)	5600 WAWAYANDA (TN)	(A) COMMERCIAL					
3601 HIGHLAND FALLS (VLG)	5889 WOODBURY (TN)	(B) 1 OR 2 FAMILY					
3889 MINISINK (TN)	5801 HARRIMAN (VLG)	(C) UNDER \$10,000.					
3801 UNIONVILLE (VLG)	,	(E) EXEMPT					
4089 MONROE (TN)	CITIES	(F) 3 TO 6 UNITS					
4001 MONROE (VLG)	0900 MIDDLETOWN	(I) NAT.PERSON/CR.UNION					
4003 HARRIMAN (VLG)	1100 NEWBURGH	(J) NAT.PER-CR.UN/I OR 2					
4005 KIRYAS JOEL (VLG)	1300 PORT JERVIS	(K) CONDO					
0 -							
Jonn A Magchi	9999 HOLD						
7	RECEIVED FRO	M. Bridge City aligh					
JOAN A, MACCHI Orange County Clerk	UNR4344114GE 15						
COUNTY OF ORANGE) SS:	T						
COUNTY CLERK AND CLERK OF THE							
Y COURTS, ORANGE COUNTY, DO							
I HAVE COMPARED THIS COPY WI	TU						
F FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE							

STATE OF NEW YORK ( I, DONNA L. BENSON, SUPREME AND COUNT HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL THEREOF FLED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE ON Z-22-96, AND THE SAME IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT THEREOF. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND AFFIXED MY OFFICIAL SEAL.

Doma d. Bosson 10-4-13

COUNTY CLERK & CLERK OF THE SUPREME COUNTY COURTS, ORANGE COUNTY

DRANGE COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE 8184 NLV RECORDED/FILED 08/22/96 11:43:57 AM 50.00 EDUCATION FUND 5.00

SERIAL NUMBER: DOS101 DEED CNTL NO 51639 RE TAX

400.00

com 76637 Budge City O'ost

TT 400-

CONSULT YOUR LAWYER BEFORE SIGNING THIS INSTRUMENT - THIS INSTRUMENT SHOULD BE USED BY LAWYERS ONLY

THIS INDENTURE, made the 30 day of January, nineteen hundred and ninety the BETWEEN

LENA MARGIOTTI, residing at 285 Ann Street, Newburgh, New York 12550, and JOSEPH PALMERONE, residing at 126 Route 17K, Newburgh, New York as Co-Executors of the Estate of MARY PALMERONE, who died a resident of Orange County on September 6, 1988; LENA MARGIOTTI, residing at 285 Ann Street, Newburgh, New York 12550, as Trustee under the Last Will and Testament of JOSEPH PALMERON 3; ANTONIA PALMERONE, with an address at c/o David L. Rider, Esq., 427 Little Britain Road, Newburgh, New York 12550, and LENA MARGIOTTI, residing at 285 Ann Street, Newburgh, New York 12550, and DAVID L. RIDER, with an address at 427 Little Britain Road. Newburgh, New York, as Co-Executors of the Estate of JOHN PALMERONE, who died a resident of Orange County on October 3, 1994; collective you the party of the first part, and

MARTIN MILANO, residing at 475 N. Riverside Road, Highland, New York 12528, the party of the second part,

WITNESSETH, that ANTONIA PALMERONE individually; LENA MARGIOTTI and JOSEPH PALMERONE, as Co-Executors of the Estate of MARY PALMERONE, to whom successor letters testamentary were issued by the Surrogate's Court, Orange County, New York on December 1, 1994, by virtue of the power and authority given in and by the Last Will and Testament of MARY PALMERONE, dated March 18, 1988, and/or by Article 11 of the Estates, Powers and Trusts Law; LENA MARGIOTTI, as successor Testamentary Trustee under the Last Will and Testament of JOSEPH PALMERONE, appointed on December 1, 1994 by the Surrogate's Court, Orange County, New York, by virtue of the power and authority given in and by the Last Will and Testament of JOSEPH PALMERONE, dated April 12, 1978, and/or by Article 11 of the Estates, Powers and Trusts Law; and LENA MARGIOTTI and DAVID L RIDER, as Co-Executors of the Estate of JOHN PALMERONE, to whom letters testamentary were issued by the Surrogate's Court, Orange County, New York on November 4, 1994, by virture of the power and authority given in and by the Last Will and Testament of JOHN PALMERONE dated September 25, 1991, and/or by Article 11 of the Estates, Powers and Trusts Law; and in consideration of ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 (\$100,000.00) DOLLARS, paid by the party of the second part; the party of the first part does hereby grant and release unto the party of the second part, the heirs, successors and assigns of the party of the second part forever,

ALL that certain plot, piece or parcel of land, with buildings and improvements thereon erected situate, lying and being in the Town of Newburgh, County of Orange, and State of New York, which property is more fully described in Schedule "A," amexed hereto and made a part hereof.

BERARANA PAGE CA

Deed: Estate of Mary Palmerone, et al., to Martin Milano Dated: November 1301995

Page Two

TOGETHER with all right, title and interest, if any, of the party of the first part in and to any streets and roads abutting the above described premises to the center lines thereof; TOGETHER with the appurtenances, and also all the estate which the said decedent had at the time of decedent's death in said premises, and also the estate therein, which the party of the first part has or has power to convey or dispose of, whether individually, or by virtue of said will or otherwise; TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the premises herein granted unto the party of the second part, the distributees or successors and assigns of the party of the second part forever.

AND the party of the first part covenants that the party of the first part has not done or suffered anything whereby the said premises have been incumbered in any way whatever, except as aforesaid.

Subject to the trust fund provisions of section thirteen of the Lien Law. The word "party" shall be construed as if it read "parties" whenever the sense of this indenture so requires.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the party of the first part has duly executed this deed as of the day and year first above written.

ESTATE OF MARY PALMERONE:

Lena Margiotti, Co-Executor

oseph Palmerane, Co-Executor

THE TRUST UNDER THE LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT OF JOSEPH PALMERONE

ESTATE OF JOHN PALMERONE

UNEA 34A PAGE

RIDER, WEINER, FRANKEL & CALHELHA, R.C. 42° L T'LE BRITAIN ROAD • P. O. BOX 2280 • NEWBURGH, N. Y. 12550

Deed: Estate of Mary Palmerone Dated: Nevember // 3,9 1995 Page Three	, et al., t	to Marti	n Milauo
STATE OF NEW YORK	)		·
COUNTY OF ORANGE	)	SS.:	
On the Way of My 19 and known to me to be the individual she acknowledged to me that	95, before dual des	re me p cribed i	ersonally came Lena Margiotti, to me known n and who executed the foregoing instrumen e same.
		-	Notary Public M. LANGANKE
			No Apportu
STATE OF NEW YORK	)		Qualified in Orange County Commission Expires July 31, 1992
COUNTY OF ORANGE	)	SS.:	
On the 5th December day of 1995, 1995, and known to me to be the individual he acknowledged to me that he	, before a	me person	onally came Joseph Palmerone, to me known and who executed the foregoing instrument ame.  Notaty Public

1181843144 Page 2 18

Page Four STATE OF NEW YORK SS.: COUNTY OF ORANGE On the What day of Durnfu?, 1995, before me personally came Antonia Palmerone, to me known and known to me to be the individual described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged to me that she executed the same. KATFERIJE M. LANGANKE Notary Public, State of Reav York No. 4640183 Qualified in Orange County Commission Figures July 31, 19 STATE OF NEW YORK SS.: COUNTY OF OR MICE On the Winds of Count (1995, before me personally came David L. Rider, to me known and known to me to be the individual described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and he acknowledged to me that he executed the same. KATHERINE M. LANGANGE Notary Profic, Star : & New York The : 84: 183 Qualified in Crange County & Commission Expired July 31, 19.4 FAWP5PCEF\PALMIL2.DED RXR anthony M. Barraco, P.C P.O. Box 1220 Highland, N.Y. 12528

Deed: Estate of Mary Palmerone, et al., to Martin Milano

Dated: November 1/2/1995

RIDER, WEINER, FRANKEL & CALHELL A, R.C. 42 / L T.J. E BRITAIN ROAD · P. O. BOX 2280 • NEWEURGH, N. Y. 12550 • (914) 562-9100

LIPER 43.44 PAGE

19

### SCHEDULE A

ALL that plot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Town of Newburgh, County of Orange and State of New York, more particularly bounded and described as Lot No. 2 on Filed Map No. 205/95, said map was filed in the Orange County Clerk's Office on November 8, 1995.

LIBER 4344 PAGE 20

RIDER, WEINER, FRANKEL & CALHELHA, RC.
427 LITTLE BRITAIN ROAD • P. O. BOX 2280 • NE. YBURGH, N. Y. 12550 • (914) 562-9100

