			-
Contraction of the second	Orange County Department of Submittal Form for Mandatory Review of L as per NYS General Municipal Law	ocal Planning Action §239-I,m, & n	al ID#: use only
Steven M. Neuhaus	I his form is to be completed by the local board having juris accepted unless coordinated with both the local board hav Planning. Please include all materials that are part of a "full statement	ing jurisdiction and the County Departme	nt of .
County Executive	materials required by and submitted to the referring body a	as an application on a proposed action").	
Municipality:	Town of Newburgh	Тах Мар #: 20-2	2-40
Local Referring Board:		Tax Map #:	GEOGRAPHICATION
Applicant:	LAXMi Estates II LLC	Tax Map #:	
Project Name:	DUNKIN PONNTS	Local File No.: PB Re	leval
Location of Project Site	e 5277 Routig W	Size of Parcel*: 2 across *If more than one parcel, plea	se include
Reason for County Review:	US Route 9W	Current Zoning District (include	all parcels.
Type of Review:			
Comprehensive	Plan Update/Adoption	· · ·	
-		to	
□ Local Law □ Site Plan	Sq. feet proposed (non-residential only):		
	Which approval is the applicant currently seeking?	SKETCH / PRELIM / FINAL (c	ircle one)
Subdivision	Number of lots proposed:		· ·
	Which approval is the applicant currently seeking?	SKETCH / PRELIM / FINAL (c	ircle one)
Special Use Period			
Lot Line Change	)		
Lot Line Change Carlance Cother	AREA / USE (orrcle one) Use Not Re	rmitted in BZONE	······································
Lot Line Change X Variance Other Is this an update to a p	AREA / USE (circle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u>		
Lot Line Change Carlance Cother	AREA / USE (circle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u>		
Lot Line Change X Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments	AREA / USE (circle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u>		
Lot Line Change X Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments	AREA / USE (orrcle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s	16)	
Lot Line Change Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration:	AREA / USE (orrele one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s have landone 4/22/14		ppeals
Lot Line Change X Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration:	AREA / USE (orrele one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s S <u>Lace</u> <u>Landone</u> <u>4</u> / <u>2</u> 2/14 e of local official Date	ne) Chairperson	ppeals
Lot Line Change X Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration: Signature Municipal Contact Pho	AREA / USE (orrcle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <i>AREA / USE (orrcle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u>oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle one) <i>Baca Candone 1/22/14</i> e of local official Date one Number: <u>845-566-4901</u></i>	ne) Chairperson Zoning Board of Ag Title	peals
Lot Line Change X Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration: Signature Municipal Contact Pho	AREA / USE (orrele one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s S <u>Lace</u> <u>Landone</u> <u>4</u> / <u>2</u> 2/14 e of local official Date	ne) Chairperson Zoning Board of Ag Title	ppeals
□ Lot Line Change X Variance □ Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration: Signature Municipal Contact Pho If you would like the ap	AREA / USE (cricle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> previously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <u>have</u> <u>Ardone</u> <u>4/22/14</u> e of local official <u>Date</u> one Number: <u>845-566-4901</u> pplicant to be cc'd on this letter, please provide the a	ne) Chairperson Zoning Board of Ag Title pplicant's address:	
Lot Line Change Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration: Signature Municipal Contact Pho If you would like the ap Please return, alcometer	AREA / USE (orrcle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u> oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <i>AREA / USE (orrcle one) <u>Use Not Rep</u>oreviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle one) <i>Baca Candone 1/22/14</i> e of local official Date one Number: <u>845-566-4901</u></i>	Chairperson Zoning Board of Ag Title pplicant's address:	
Lot Line Change Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration: Signature Municipal Contact Pho If you would like the ap Please return, alcometer	AREA / USE (cricle one) <u>USE Not Rep</u> previously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <u>AREA / USE (cricle one)</u> or eviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <u>USE Not Rep</u> <u>Vaca Andore 4/22/14</u> Date one Number: <u>845-566-4901</u> pplicant to be cc'd on this letter, please provide the a	Chairperson Zoning Board of Ag Title pplicant's address:	
Lot Line Change Variance Other Is this an update to a p Local board comments or elaboration: Signature Municipal Contact Pho If you would like the ap Please return, alcometer	AREA / USE (cricle one) <u>USE Not Rep</u> previously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <u>AREA / USE (cricle one)</u> or eviously submitted referral? YES / NO (circle on s <u>USE Not Rep</u> <u>Vaca Andore 4/22/14</u> Date one Number: <u>845-566-4901</u> pplicant to be cc'd on this letter, please provide the a	Chairperson Zoning Board of Ag Title pplicant's address:	

# TOWN OF NEWBURGH

\_Crossroads of the Northeast \_\_\_\_\_

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OLD TOWN HALL 308 GARDNERTOWN ROAD NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

APPLICATION

OFFICE OF ZONING BOARD (845) 566-4901

DATED:

TO: THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

I (WE) Laxmi Estates II, LLC

PRESENTLY

RESIDING AT NUMBER 15 Parks Wood Drive, Cornwall, NY 12518

TELEPHONE NUMBER (845) 562-0053

HEREBY MAKE APPLICATION TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

\_\_\_\_\_X A USE VARIANCE

\_\_\_\_\_ AN AREA VARIANCE

INTERPRETATION OF THE ORDINANCE

\_\_\_\_\_ SPECIAL PERMIT

1. LOCATION OF THE PROPERTY:

20-2-40

\_\_\_\_\_ (TAX MAP DESIGNATION)

5277 Route 9W (STREET ADDRESS)

B - Business District (ZONING DISTRICT)

2. PROVISION OF THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE, (INDICATE THE SECTION AND SUBSECTION OF THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE BY NUMBER; DO NOT QUOTE THE LAW). 185 Attachment 11 B District - Schedule 7 does not list drive-throughs as a

permitted use.

Zoning	Board	of	Appeals
	PR 2	Ŷ	2014
	wno	N	ewburg
	Concernance of the second second		Wei

## 3. IF VARIANCE TO THE ZONING LAW IS REQUESTED:

- a) APPEAL IS MADE FROM DISAPPROVAL BY THE TOWN BUILDING INSPECTOR OR BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION. SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED:
- b) OR DENIAL (REFERRAL) BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH OF AN APPLICATION TO THE BOARD, SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED: 7/22/13
- 4. DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCE SOUGHT: A Variance is being sought for

the drive-thru use.

- 5. IF A USE VARIANCE IS REQUESTED: STRICT APPLICATION OF THE ZONING LAW WOULD PRODUCE UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IN THAT:
  - a) UNDER APPLICABLE ZONING REGULATIONS THE APPLICANT IS DEPRIVED OF ALL ECONOMIC USE OR BENEFIT FROM THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION BECAUSE:

The site has 3 existing carwash drive-thru bays today. This application proposes to keep the drive-thru closest to the building and eliminate the other two, thus reducing the number of drive-thru lanes.

(ATTACH WITH THIS APPLICATION COMPETENT FINANCIAL EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING SUCH DEPRIVATION)

b) THE HARDSHIP IS UNIQUE AND DOES NOT APPLY TO A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:

This project is a redevelopment of an existing facility with drive-thru uses.

c) THE VARIANCE WOULD NOT ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE: The number of drive-thru lanes is being reduced and the existing building is being remodeled. d) THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF-CREATED BECAUSE: The applicant has owned the facility for many years. The applicant has not recently purchased the property.

## 6. IF AN AREA VARIANCE IS REQUESTED:

- a) THE VARIANCE WILL NOT PRODUCE AN UNDESIRABLE CHANGE IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR A DETRIMENT TO NEARBY PROPERTIES BECAUSE:
- b) THE BENEFIT SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT CAN NOT BE ACHIEVED BY SOME METHOD, FEASIBLE FOR THE APPLICANT TO PURSUE, OTHER THAN AN AREA VARIANCE, BECAUSE:

------

- c) THE REQUESTED AREA VARIANCE IS NOT SUBSTANTIAL BECAUSE:
- d) THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL NOT HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT OR IMPACT ON THE PHYSICAL OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR DISTRICT BECAUSE:

e) THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF CREATED BECAUSE:

7. ADDITIONAL REASONS (IF PERTINENT):

N/A

1 2 4 . . . 4

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF ORANGE: SWORN TO THIS 17TH DAY OF APEIL 2014 Leag Aour Charles NOTARY PUBLIC

PÆTITIONER (S) SIGNAT

FAYE A. NOWICKI Notary Public, State of New York Qualified in Schenectady Co., No. 01N06255780 Commission Expires February 13, 2016

URE

NOTE: NYS GML Section 239-m (3) for proposed actions that are within 500 feet of the properties or thresholds listed in the statute the Zoning Board of Appeals is required to send a copy of the complete application to the Orange County Department of Planning to be reviewed prior to Zoning Board of Appeals decision. And also NYS GML Section 239-NN requires notification for any proposed actions, to the Municipal Clerk, within '500 feet of the Border of that adjoining County, Town or City.

## 617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

## **Instructions for Completing**

**Part 1 - Project Information.** The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

#### **Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information**

Name of Action or Project:

Proposed Dunkin Donuts Redevelopment

Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):

5277 Route 9W, Town of Newburgh, New York

Brief Description of Proposed Action:

The project involves the re-development of the existing building on the site, associated pavement areas and utilities. The proposed re-development will include a new "Dunkin Donuts" Store with a drive-thru within the existing building. A drive-thru use requires a use variance in the Town of Newburgh. The existing building is set back 44 feet from the property line and will therefore require an area variance as a minimum 50-foot setback is required.

Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telephone: (518) 438-9900	
Laxmi Estates II, LLC c/o Bohler Engineering, LLC	E-Mail: jgillespie@bohlereng.com	
Address:		

5 Computer Drive West, Suite 203

City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:				
Albany	NY	12205				
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance,						
administrative rule, or regulation?	• . • .•					
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the env may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question		hat				
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other ge	overnmental Agency?	NO	YES			
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals approval, Town of Newburgh Planning Board appro						
stormwater permit, County Department of Health approval						
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 0.79-	+/- acres	I	I			
	+/- acres					
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned						
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? <u>2.0</u> acres						
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.						
		Janj				
Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other (specify	):					
Parkland						
			•			

<ul><li>5. Is the proposed action,</li><li>a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?</li></ul>	NO	YES	N/A
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural	_ <b></b>	NO	YES
landscape?			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Ar	ea?	NO	YES
If Yes, identify:		Postana	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?			
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
h Are public transportation corriga(a) qualitable at an according site of the analysis of the second section?			
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?			
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed act	ion?	$\checkmark$	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:	. ;	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
A new septic system is proposed.			
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic		NO	YES
Places?		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?			
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain	n	NO	YES
wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?			
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			
	44 . 4 .		<u></u>
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check a □ Shoreline □ Forest □ Agricultural/grasslands □ Early mid-successi		apply:	
☐ Wetland ☐ Urban	Juai		
		N/O	TRA
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed		NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? To be determined.			
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		NO	YES
If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:	is)?		
Storm water discharges will be directed to the NYSDOT drainage system along Route 9W.		· .	
			1

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of	NO	YES
water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?		
If Yes, explain purpose and size:		
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:		
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or	NO	YES
completed) for hazardous waste?		
If Yes, describe:	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
		L]
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE	BEST O	FMY
KNOWLEDGE		
Applicant/sponsor name: Father FUGIDEERING-JAMES GILLESPIE Date: 4/17/14 Signature:		
Signature:		-
()		

**Part 2 - Impact Assessment. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 2.** Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?		
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?		
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?		
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?		
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?		
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?		
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?		
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?		
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?		
9.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?		

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
10.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?		
11.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?		

**Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Part 3.** For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation,
that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an
environmental impact statement is required.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Name of Lead Agency

Date

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Title of Responsible Officer

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

PRINT

## TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

## PROXY

Laxmi Estates II LLC	, DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT
HE/SHE RESIDES AT 15 Parks Wood	Drive, Town of Cornwall
IN THE COUNTY OF	AND STATE OF
AND THAT HE/SHE IS THE OWNER	IN FEE OF the proposed Dunkin Donuts
located at 5277 Route 9W	
WHICH IS THE PREMISES DESCRIB	ED IN THE FOREGOING APPLICA-
TION AND THAT HE/SHE HAS AUT	HORIZED Bohler Engineering LLC
TO MAKE THE FOREGOING APPLIC	
DATED: //14/14	
Seve Unt	OWNER'S SIGNATURE
WITNESS' SIGNATURE	
STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY O	F ORANGE:
SWORN TO THIS DAY OF	<u>howary</u> 20 14
	X
Jassy	
	NOTARY PUBLIC
	JASON FAROUK Notary Public - State of I NO. 01FA626564 Qualified in Orange C
	My Commission Expires

## Dickover, Donnelly, Donovan & Biagi, LLP Attorneys and Counselors at Law

James B. Biagi David A. Donovan Michael H. Donnelly Robert J. Dickover 28 Bruen Place P.O. Box 610 Goshen, NY 10924 Phone (845) 294-9447 mai@ddblaw.com Fax (845) 294-6553 (Not for Service of Process)

Successor Law Firm To: Alexander Appelbaum, P.C., Florida, N.Y. (1915-1988) Ludmerer & Vurno, Esqs., Warwick, N.Y.

July 22, 2013

Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550



RE: Laxmi Estate II, LLC [Dunkin Donuts] 40-2-20 (Zone B)

Members of the Board:

The applicant referenced above has applied to the planning board for authorization to construct and operate the Dunkin Donuts establishment on Route 9W in the Town's B Zoning District. The applicant proposes a drive-up window as a part of its proposal. The existing building does not comply with the front yard setback requirements. However, as an existing building, this failure to comply with setback is protected under the non-complying building provisions of the Town of Newburgh Zoning Chapter.

In 2008 the applicant also proposed a Dunkin Donuts restaurant on the same site. Because fast food restaurants are not permitted in the B Zone, the applicant attempted to argue to the planning board that it proposed a restaurant and not a fast food establishment. The planning board referred the matter to you for an interpretation. You issued an interpretation that the proposal was a food preparation use and that, because a drive-up window is not permitted with that use, no drive-up window is allowed.

The planning board has directed me to prepare this referral letter to you. The referral is for two purposes:

- While the applicant tells us that the interpretation that you issued has expired, the
- planning board feels that your interpretation nevertheless has precedential impact and, unless modified by you, binds the planning board. Therefore, the planning board refers this matter to you for reconsideration or reaffirmation of the earlier determination that the use proposed by this applicant is a food preparation use.

## July 22, 2013

- Page 2
  - For consideration of front yard setback variance or a determination that a variance is not required because the front yard setback deficiency is protected as a preexisting, non-conforming condition.

The planning board has no particular matters to bring to your attention. It appears that both of the determinations by you constitute Type II Actions under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act.

Very truly yours,

an har a

 $(\mathbf{x}_{i})$ 

All H Duf

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY

### MHD/lrm

Cc: Town of Newburgh Planning Board Bohler Engineering

d <sub>ji.</sub> U				к.,
	THIS PAGE IS PART OF TH	K'S OFFICE RECOR E INSTRUMENT – DO NOT		
	DF PARTY(S) TO DOCUMENT			<b>黎</b> ();)
	oseph P. Obizien		ID RETURN TO: and address)	
		. G	GUED ARCAILS	
	TO	PC	2395	
LA	MMI Estates IT LLC.	2	ewbork my 12550	
THIS IS P	AGE ONE OF THE RECORDIN	G L		
	this sheet to the first pa ecorded instrument onl DO NO	•	LINE	- 
PROPE	MENT TYPE: DEED X_MORTG RTY LOCATION BLOOMING GROVE (TN)	AGE SATISFACTION ASS		
2001 2289 2201	WASHINGTONVILLE (VLG) CHESTER (TN) CHESTER (VLG) CORNWALL (TN)	4289 MONTGOMERT (1N) 4201 MAYBROOK (VLG) 4203 MONTGOMERY (VLG) 4205 WALDEN (VLG) 4489 MOUNT HOPE (TN)	NO PAGES CCROSS REF. CERT.COPY ADD'L X-REF MAP# PGS. PAYMENT TYPE: CHECK	< <u> </u>
2800	CORNWALL (VLG) CRAWFORD (TN) DEERPARK (TN) GOSHEN (TN)	4401 OTISVILLE (VLG) 4600 NEWBURGH (TN) 4800 NEW WINDSOR (TN)	CASH CHARGE NO FEE	
3001 3003 3005 3200		5089 TUXEDO (TN) 5001 TUXEDO PARK (VLG) 5200 WALLKILL (TN) 5489 WARWICK (TN) 5401 FLORIDA (VLG) 5403 \GREENWOOD LAKE (V	Taxable       CONSIDERATION \$       TAX EXEMPT       Taxable       MORTGAGE AMT. \$       LG)     DATE	
3601 3889 3801	MAYBROOK (VLG). HIGHLANDS (TN) HIGHLAND FALLS (VLG) MINISINK (TN) UNIONVILLE (VLG) MONROE (TN)	5405 WARWICK (VLG) 5600 WAWAYANDA (TN) 5889 WOODBURY (TN) 5801 HARRIMAN (VLG)	MORTGAGE TAX TYPE: (A) COMMERCIAL/FUI (B) 1 OR 2 FAMILY (C) UNDER \$10,000	• •
4039 4001 4003 4005	MONROE (VLG) HARRIMAN (VLG)	CITIES 0900 MIDDLETOWN 1100 NEWBURGH 1300 PORT JERVIS	(E) EXEMPT (F) 3 TO 6 UNITS (I) NAT.PERSON/CR. (J) NAT.PER-CR.UN/1 (K) CONDO	
e. (		9999 HOLD	• .	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SVNA (). DONOST- DONNA L. BENSON DRANGE COUNTY CLERK	RECEIVED FROM:	tardenburg	<u>}</u>
				MARTINE OF ADAMOEN SS
peropr	ED/FILED		STATE OF NEW YORK (CC I, ANN G. RABBITT, COUN SUPREME AND COUNTY	COUDTS ORANGE COUNTY DO
11/09/ DONNA County	2005/ 10:22:37 L. BENSON / Clerk : COUNTY, NY		HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL THEREOF ON 11/09/05	FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE AND THE SAME IS A CORRECT
DEED C RECORI TTX# Q	E 20050117687 C / BK 11986 PH 1132 )ING FEES 207.00 )03247 T TAX 1,160.00 ot#495814 pete		HEREUNTO SEI MY HAI	C Reflect by OF THE SUPREME COUNTY COURTS.
			ORANGE COUNTY J.	ANUARY 13, 2014

Bargain and Sale Deed. With Covenant Against Grantor's Acts

THIS INDENTURE, made the Hay of October, 2005 BETWEEN JOSEPH O'BRIEN, residing at 37 Conklin Hill Rd., Milton, NY 12547,

LAXMI ESTATES II, LLC, having a place of business at 266 Main St., Suite 2, Cornwall, NY 12518.

party of the second part.

WITNESSETH, that the party of the first part, in consideration of Ten Dollars and for other valuable consideration paid by the party of the second part, does hereby grant and release unto the party of the second part, the heirs, successors and assigns of the party of the second part forever subject to any existing mortgages as of the date above,

**TOGETHER** with all right, title and interest, if any, of the party of the first part in and to any streets and roads abutting the above described premises to the center lines thereof;

**TOGETHER** with the appurtenances and all the estate and rights of the party of the first part in and to said premises;

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the premises granted unto the party of the second part, or the heirs, successors and assigns of the party of the second part forever.

AND the party of the first part covenants that the party of the first part has not done or suffered anything whereby the said premises have been encumbered in anyway whatever, except as aforesaid.

**AND** the party of the first part, in compliance with Section 13 of the Lien Law, covenants that the party of the first part will receive the consideration for this conveyance and will hold the right to receive such consideration as a trust fund to be applied first for the purpose of paying the cost of the improvement and will apply the same first to payment of the cost of the improvement before using any part of the total of the same for any other purpose.

The word "party" shall be construed as if it read "parties" whenever the sense of this indenture so requires.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the party of the first part has duly executed this deed the day and year first above written.

Joseph O'Brien

STATE OF NEW YORK

) )ss.:

COUNTY OF ORANGE

۰,

,

COUNTY OF ORANGE) Juseph P. O'Br. en On the the undersigned, a notary public in and for the State, personally known to me, or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose named is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument, the individual, or the person upon behalf of which the individual acted, executed the instrument.

k Notary Public

JAMES V. RINALDI No. 8580050 Notary Public, State of New York Qualified in Orange Co. Commission Expires Mar. 30, 2055

### DESCRIPTION LANDS OF JOSEPH O'BRIEN 5277 ROUTE 9W, TOWN OF NEWBURGH, NY

1,

ALL that piece or parcel of land, with buildings and improvements thereon, situate, lying and being in the Town of Newburgh, County of Orange, State of New York and being bounded and described as follows:

**BEGINNING** at a point in the northwesterly line of U.S. Route 9W, said point being on the division line between the lands now or formerly of Cutrone, Felicello and Gerentine on the southwest and the parcel herein described on the northeast; thence, along the last said division line, N 65°05′13″ W 497.01 feet to a point on the division line between the lands now or formerly of Zaimes and Gianetta on the northwest and the parcel herein described on the southeast; thence, along the last said division line, N 24°35′07″ E 185.41 feet to a point on the division line between the lands now or formerly of Fendarden Associates on the northeast and the parcel herein described on the southwest; thence, along the last said division line, S 63°19′12″ E 483.77 feet to a point in the aforementioned northwesterly line of U.S. Route 9W; thence, along the last said line, on a curve to the right having a radius of 1880 feet and an arc length of 171.17 feet to the point or place of beginning, containing 2.01 acres of land more or less.

