

__Crossroads of the Northeast _____

Zoning Board Of Appeals Old Town Hall 308 Gardnertown Road

NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

APPLICATION

Office Of Zoning Board (845) 566-4901

DATED: September 7, 2016

1

TO: THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

I (WE) Donald & June Rudie PRESENTLY

RESIDING AT NUMBER 411 Lakeside Road Newburgh, NY

TELEPHONE NUMBER (201)664-8911

HEREBY MAKE APPLICATION TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS FOR THE FOLLOWING:

____ A USE VARIANCE

X _____ AN AREA VARIANCE

INTERPRETATION OF THE ORDINANCE

SPECIAL PERMIT

1. LOCATION OF THE PROPERTY:

28-1-15 (TAX MAP DESIGNATION)

411 Lakeside Road (STREET ADDRESS)

R1 (ZONING DISTRICT)

 PROVISION OF THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE, (INDICATE THE SECTION AND SUBSECTION OF THE ZONING LAW APPLICABLE BY NUMBER; DO NOT QUOTE THE LAW).
 Section 185 Table of Bulk Requirements R-1 District Schedule 3



__Crossroads of the Northeast _____

Zoning Board Of Appeals Old Town Hall 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

- 3. IF VARIANCE TO THE ZONING LAW IS REQUESTED:
 - a) APPEAL IS MADE FROM DISAPPROVAL BY THE TOWN BUILDING INSPECTOR OR BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION. SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED:
 - b) OR DENIAL (REFERRAL) BY THE PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF NEWBURGH OF AN APPLICATION TO THE BOARD, SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTICE DATED: <u>May 19, 2016</u>
- 4. DESCRIPTION OF VARIANCE SOUGHT: Area variances for preexisting

non conforming side yard, and habitable area.

- 5. IF A USE VARIANCE IS REQUESTED: STRICT APPLICATION OF THE ZONING LAW WOULD PRODUCE UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IN THAT:
 - a) UNDER APPLICABLE ZONING REGULATIONS THE APPLICANT IS DEPRIVED OF ALL ECONOMIC USE OR BENEFIT FROM THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION BECAUSE:

(ATTACH WITH THIS APPLICATION COMPETENT FINANCIAL EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING SUCH DEPRIVATION)

- b) THE HARDSHIP IS UNIQUE AND DOES NOT APPLY TO A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE DISTRICT OR NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:
- c) THE VARIANCE WOULD NOT ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE:



__Crossroads of the Mortheast _____

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Old Town Hall 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

- d) THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF-CREATED BECAUSE:
- 6. IF AN AREA VARIANCE IS REQUESTED:
 - a) THE VARIANCE WILL NOT PRODUCE AN UNDESIRABLE CHANGE IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR A DETRIMENT TO NEARBY PROPERTIES BECAUSE: There are no physical changes proposed to the property.
 - b) THE BENEFIT SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT CAN NOT BE ACHIEVED BY SOME METHOD, FEASIBLE FOR THE APPLICANT TO PURSUE, OTHER THAN AN AREA VARIANCE, BECAUSE: <u>All structures are existing and no agreement can be made with</u> regard to the neighbor to the south where the existing 2.0' setback exists.
 - c) THE REQUESTED AREA VARIANCE IS NOT SUBSTANTIAL BECAUSE: No non conforming requirements will be increased and a non conformity will be eliminated as part of the application.
 - d) THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL NOT HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT OR IMPACT ON THE PHYSICAL OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OR DISTRICT BECAUSE;
 <u>There are no physical changes proposed. By effect of the applications</u> project the environmental conditions will not be effected as the driveway will not have to be relocated.
 - e) THE HARDSHIP HAS NOT BEEN SELF CREATED BECAUSE: The structures predate the zoning code.



_Crossroads of the Northeast _____

Zoning Board Of Appeals

Old Town Hall 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

Office Of Zoning Board (845) 566-4901

7. ADDITIONAL REASONS (IF PERTINENT):

SIGNATURE STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF ORANGE: DAY OF S€V SWORN TO THIS NOTARY PUBLIC LINDA A. KUMP NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK No. 01KU6273152 Qualified in Orange County My Commission Expires December 03, 2016

NOTE: NYS GML Section 239-m (3) for proposed actions that are within 500 feet of the properties or thresholds listed in the statute the Zoning Board of Appeals is required to send a copy of the complete application to the Orange County Department of Planning to be reviewed prior to Zoning Board of Appeals decision. And also NYS GML Section 239-NN requires notification for any proposed actions, to the Municipal Clerk, within 500 feet of the Border of that adjoining County, Town or City. (ALL MATERIALS REGARDING THE APPLICATION MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE ZONING BOARD OFFICE FOR REVIEW NO LATER THAN 10 DAYS PRIOR TO THE HEARING DATE OR THEY MAY NOT BE CONSIDERED THE NIGHT OF THE MEETING).

(NOTE: BOARD MEMBERS MAKE SITE VISITS TO ALL THE PROPERTIES)



Crossroads of the Northeast ____

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Old Town Hall 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

PROXY

June Rudie DONALD D. RUDIE, DEPOSES AND SAYS THAT HE/SHE RESIDES AT 411 Lakeside Road Orange AND STATE OF New York IN THE COUNTY OF AND THAT HE/SHE IS THE OWNER IN FEE OF 411 Lakeside Road Newburgh, NY WHICH IS THE PREMISES DESCRIBED IN THE FOREGOING APPLICA-**KC Engineering** TION AND THAT HE/SHE HAS AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THE FOREGOING APPLICATION AS DESCRIBED THEREIN fille DATED:9/7/2016 na Kuda **OWNER'S SIGNATURE** WITNESS' SIGNATURE

STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF ORANGE: SWORN TO THIS 11th DAY OF Sept ember 201NOTARY PUBLIC

LINDA A. KUMP NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK No. 01 KU6273152 Qualified In Orange County My Commission Expires December 03, 2016

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Name of Action or Project:					
LOT LINE CHANGE FOR RUDIE & ALBERT					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
411 LAKESIDE ROAD, NEWBURGH NY 12550					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
THE APPLICANTS PROPOSED TO RELOCATE A COMMON BOUNDRY LINE BETWEEN THIER LOTS 35 FEET TO THE NORTH EAST OF THE CURRENT LINE TO ELIMINATE ANY ENCROACHMENT.					AST OF
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telep	hone:			
DONALD & JUNE RUDIE	E-Ma	il:	---		
Address:					
1 MELBA WAY					
City/PO:		State:	Zip C	ode:	
TOWNSHIP OF WASHINGTON		NEW JERSEY	07676		
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, lo	ocal lav	v, ordinance,	ľ	NO	YES
administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that					
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.					VEC
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any of If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	other g	svernmental Agency?		ŇO	YES
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	7.	19 acres	······	I	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?0 acres					
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	47.4	49 acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.					
		Residential (suburt	ban)		
☐Forest □Agriculture ☑Aquatic □Other (specify):	<u></u>		
Parkland					

5. Is the proposed action,a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	NO		N/A
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural		NO	YES
landscape?			$\mathbf{\nabla}$
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental An	rea?	NO	YES
If Yes, identify:		\checkmark	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?			
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac	tion?	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water: EXISTING PRIVATE WELLS			
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: <u>EXISITNG CENTRAL SEWER</u>			$\mathbf{\nabla}$
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?		NO	YES
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?			
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contai	n	NO	YES
wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	11		$\boxed{\checkmark}$
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check a Shoreline Forest Wetland Urban	all that a onal	apply:	[
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed		NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?			
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\checkmark
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		NO	YES
If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:	1s)?		
		,	,

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES			
If Yes, explain purpose and size:					
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed	NO	YES			
solid waste management facility?					
If Yes, describe:					
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or	NO	YES			
completed) for hazardous waste?					
If Yes, describe:					
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY					
KNOWLEDGE					
Applicant/sponsor name: Turr Ruder, DrowALD D. RUD/FDate: 9/7/2016					
KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name; June Rudie Dowald D, RUD/FDate: 9/7/2016 Signature June Reach Downed Quipo					

EAF Mapper Summary Report



Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area]	No
Part 1 / Question 12a [National Register of Historic Places]	No
Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites]	No
Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal]	No
Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain]	Yes
Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site]	No

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project:

Date:

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?		
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?		
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?		
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?		
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?		
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?		
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?		
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?		
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?		
9.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?		
10.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?		
11.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?		

Dickover, Donnelly & Donovan, LLP Attorneys and Counselors at Law

David A. Donovan Michael H. Donnelly Robert J. Dickover

Successor Law Firm To: Alexander Appelbaum, P.C., Florida, N.Y. (1915-1988) Ludmerer & Vurno, Esqs., Warwick, N.Y. 28 Bruen Place P.O. Box 610 Goshen, NY 10924 Phone (845) 294-9447 mai@dddblaw.com Fax (845) 294-6553 (Norfor Service of Process)

May 19, 2016

Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, New York 12550

RE: Rudie & Albert Lot Line Change 28-1-15 & 36 (Zone R-1) 417 & 411 Lakeside Road (16.06)

Members of the Board:

I write to you on behalf of and at the direction of the Town of Newburgh Planning Board. The above referenced applicants appeared before the planning board during its meeting of May 5, 2016. The applicants propose a lot line change between their properties each containing a single-family dwelling. Each of the lots has pre-existing, non-conforming zoning issues whose protections will be lost upon the lot line change approval. Therefore, the planning board refers these matters to you for consideration of the following variances:

Rudie Lot (28-1-15):

- Area variance allowing a front yard setback of 49 feet where 50 feet is required; and
- Area variance permitting a habitable floor area of 1,262 square feet where 1,500 square feet is required.

Rudie & Albert Lot (28-1-36):

- > Area variance allowing a lot width of 144 feet where 150 feet is required;
- Area variance allowing a side yard setback of 2 feet where 30 feet is required; and

- Page 2
- Area variance permitting a habitable floor area of 1,331 square feet where 1,500 feet is required.

The planning board has no particular matters to bring to your attention. It appears that your review of this matter under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act is a Type II action. If not, the planning board suggests that uncoordinated review may be in order.

Very truly yours,

MICHAEL H. DONNELLY

MHD/lrm

cc: Town of Newburgh Planning Board KC Engineering and Land Surveying, P.C. David A. Donovan, Esq.



McGOEY, HAUSER and EDSALL CONSULTING ENGINEERS D.P.C.

MARK J. EDSALL, P.E., P.P. (NY, NJ & PA) MICHAEL W. WEEKS, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) MICHAEL J. LAMOREAUX, P.E. (NY, NJ, PA, VT & VA) MATTHEW J. SICKLER, P.E. (NY & PA) PATRICK J. HINES <u>Main Office</u> 33 Airport Center Drive Suite 202 New Windsor, New York 12553

(845) 567-3100 fax: (845) 567-3232 e-mail: mheny@mhepc.com

Principal Emeritus: RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. (NY & PA) WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA)

TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT: PROJECT NO.: PROJECT LOCATION: REVIEW DATE: MEETING DATE: REPRESENTATIVE: LANDS OF RUDIE & ALBERT 16-06 SECTION 28, BLOCK 1, LOT 15 & 36 29 APRIL 2016 5 MAY 2016 KC ENGINEERING AND LAND SURVEYING

- Project proposes a lot line change transferring 0.39 acres of property from lot # lot #2 in order to address a driveway encroachment. Several pre existing non conforming zoning issues exist on the lots which will require Zoning Board of Appeals approval prior to Planning Board action.
 Lot #1 front yard- 49 feet provided where 50 feet is required Lot #1 habital floor area -1,272 provided where 1,500 is required Lot #2 lot width 144 feet provided where 150 is required.
 - Lot #2 One side yard 2 feet provided where 30 feet is required5Lot #2 habital floor area 1,331 provided where 1,500 is required.
- 2. A note should be added to the map identifying that no utility encroachments will occur due to the lot line change.
- 3. The Applicants surveyor is requested to address the map notes identifying the rear property lines as (property line) is existing edge of water vs. a proposed meets and bounds line identified on the plans. Which seems to extend the rear lot lines.

Respectfully submitted,

McGoey, Hauser & Edsall Consulting Engineers, D.P.C.

Patrick J. Hines Principal

• Regional Office • 111 Wheatfield Drive • Suite 1 • Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 • 570-296-2765 •





Made the 7th hundred and Seventy-six.

Distingen FREDERICK W. WOLF and SIDONIA WOLF, Husband and Wife, both residing at 251 Lakeside Road, Town of Newburgh, County of Orange and State of New York,

day of

December

, part iesof the first part, and

, ninetcen

JUNE RUDIE and DONALD D. RUDIE, Wife and Husband, both residing at 1 Melba Way, Town of Westwood, County of Bergen and State of New Jersey,

parties of the second part:

> paid by the pare iesof the second part, hereby grant and release unto the part ies. of the second part, their heirs and assigns forever,

All that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate in the <u>Town of Newburgh</u>, County of Orange; State of New York and being more accurately bounded and described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point in the centerline of Lakeside Road, said point of beginning being approximately 9/10 of a mile northerly of the intersection of Lakeside Road with New York State Route #52, said point being in the line of a fence separating lands of Spraccia (Sbraccia) on the South from lands of Wolf on the North; thence from said point of beginning, North 66° 05' 09" West 19.00' to an old fence post at the northeast corner of lands of Spraccia (Sbraccia); thence following along a fence and wall separating lands of Spraccia (Sbraccia) on the South from lands of Wolf on the North on the following two courses and distances: North 67° 52' 19" West 254.42' to a point; thence North 69° 45' 59" West 237.15' to a point on the edge of Orange lake; thence along the edge of Orange Lake, North 16° 18' 13" East 144.0' to a point; thence through lands of Wolf, South 68° 23' 41" East 544.51' to a point in the centerline of Lakeside Road; thence along the centerline of Lakeside Road , South 29° 59' West 142.31' to the point of beginning.

Containing 1.69+ acres.

BEING the same premises conveyed to the parties of the first part by Joseph Milton Baker, by deed Dated January 16th; 1976 and recorded in the Orange County Clerk's Office on Java 23rd, 1976 in Liber 2027 of Deeds at page 962 and being a portion of the premises conveyed to the parties of the first part by Florence M. Bollbach, Mary L. Mulligan, Elizabeth Haag and Anna Wangler by Deed dated December 10th, 1945 and recorded in the Orange County Clerk's Office in Liber 979 of Deeds at page 236.

LIBER 2054 FAGE 1.01

To have and to hold the premises herein granted unto the part ies of the second part, as tenants by the entirety and not as tenants in and assigns forever. common, their heirs,

Sail the said parties of the first part, ovenant that the y havenot done or suffered anything whereby the said premises have been incumbered in any way whatever

Anil The grantor S, in compliance with Section 13 of the Lien Law, covenant as follows: That they will receive the consideration for this conveyance and will hold the right to receive such consideration as a trust fund to be applied first for the purpose of paying the cost of the improvement, and that they will apply the same first to the payment of the cost of the improvement before using any part of the total of the same for any other purpose.

In and seal the day and year first above written

In the Presence of:

计算行 化化学学 化化学学学 化化学学学

÷. •

WOLI

State of Mein Pork, County of ORANGE \$5.: day of December 7th On the , nineteen hundred and FREDERICK W. WOLF and SIDONIA WOLF before me personally came Seventy-six , to me known to be the individual S described in, and who executed, instrument, and acknowledged that they executed the same. 1. Notary Public William F. STANTON NOTARY PURINE, HAVE OF NEW YORK RESIDERG EN CEARGE COURTY Quantization Explices March (

3680 55d 76 61 ż 7 thFREDERICK W. WOLF and SIDONIA WOLF June And Donald D. Rudie JUNE RUDIE and DONALD D. RUDIE M. in Liber December . al pago . Orenge County Clerk's Offic 1 Melba Way Westwood, N. J.06775 Received on the TOand Examined 0220 じんしてい Dated, STATE OF NEW YORK (COUNTY OF ORANGE) SS: I, AAN G. RABBITT, COUNTY OLERK AND CLERK OF THE SUPREME AND COUNTY COURTS, ORANGE COUNTY, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE COMPARED THIS COPY WITH HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE COMPARED THIS COPY WITH HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE COMPARED THIS COPY WITH HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE COMPARED THIS COPY WITH THE ORIGINAL THEREOF FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE THE ORIGINAL THEREOF FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE THE ORIGINAL THEREOF FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE THE ORIGINAL THEREOF FILED OR RECORDED IN MY OFFICE THE ORIGINAL THEREOF IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE THANSCRIPT THEREOF IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE THANSCRIPT THEREOF IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE THEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND AFFIRED MY OFFICIAL SEAL. HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND AFFIRED WY OFFICIAL SEAL. OUNTY CLERK & CLERK OF THE SUPREME COUNTY COURTS, ORANGE COUNTY RESERVE THIS SPACE FOR USE OF RECORDING OFFICE X 1576 ____ S. C. 050 O CO LIBER 2054 PAGE 103

Engineering and Land Surveying, P.C.

September 7, 2016

Chairman James Manley Jr., Town of Newburgh Zoning Board of Appeals 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550

Subject:

Planning Board Submission for Lot Line Change Tax map parcels 28-1-15 & 36 Lakeside Road

Dear Chairman Manley and members of the Zoning Board:

On behalf of the Applicants, Mr. Donald & June Rudie, please find the application materials for a lot line change between the two adjacent parcels located on Lakeside Road. The applicant presently controls the subject parcels and desires to modify the common property boundary to more accurately reflect the current utilization of the properties that includes the transfer of approximately .39 acres from tax map parcels 28-1-36 to 28-1-15 on Lakeside Road.

The pre-existing nonconforming conditions requiring your approval is summarized in Mr. Donnely's letter dated May 19, 2016. We have noted that in Mr. Donnely's letter, that the variances needed for each lot are reversed. If upon your review there are additional variances required, we respectfully request consideration of those variances as well. We note that this lot line change has also eliminated an additional preexisting non-conforming condition. In no case is any preexisting non-conforming condition increased.

Enclosed with this letter you will find the following items:

- (2) Receipt for the ZBA fee from the Clerk's office.
- (1) Referral Letter from the Planning Board.
- (11) Copies of the Lot Line change dated February 8, 2016, drawn to scale.
- (1) Certified copy of each deed, of the affected properties
- (2) Lists provided by the Assessor, of properties within 500' of the affected properties.
- (4) Photographs of each property from various angles
- (2) Zoning Board application
- (2) Executed Environmental Assessment Form
- (2) Proxy for representation thereof
- (1) Email clarification from the project surveyor regarding lot line definition.

Applicants *checks* as follows:

\$200 application fee for each parcel

The project proposes a lot line change between two (2) lots, 417 Lakeside Road - SBL: 28-1-36 and 411 Lakeside Road - SBL: 28-1-15. As depicted on the enclosed Lot Line Change Plan, the lot line is proposed to move 35' in a northeasterly direction. The area to be transferred from Tax Lot 36 to Tax Lot 15 is 17,130 square feet or 0.39± acres. The purpose of the lot line change is to eliminate any encroachment between the lots as the existing driveway for Tax Lot 15 currently traverses Tax Lot 36 for approximately 350 feet. The application meets all zoning requirements with the exception of the pre-existing non-conforming conditions identified in the bulk table.

We trust that the enclosed application materials complete the requirements for the Town's Zoning Board application. If anything additional should be required or if you should have any questions please feel free to give me a call. We respectfully request that this project be placed on the next available Zoning Board agenda.

Very Truly Yours, KC Engineering and Land Surveying, P.C.

Any Mikin

Ryan A. McGuire, P.E. Project Manager

RAM/JT

enc.

CC: Donald & June Rudie (applicant)

Q:\4040-046 - Rudie Orange Lake\10 Admin\Correspondence Out\20160907 Planning Board Submission.Docx

