To:

Joe Costanzo

Subject:

RE: ATTENTION: SUPERVISOR / TOWN CLERK / ZONING CHAIRMAN RE: ZONING

APPLICATION #1 ON AGENDA 6/25/20 FRANCIS SPINELLI

JUN 2 4 2020
Town of Newburgh

From: Joe Costanzo [mailto:beautifuloutdoorsny@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 24, 2020 12:05 PM

To: Gil Piaquadio; Town-clerk@townofnewburgh.org; Joe Costanzo; ZONINGBOARD@townofnewburgh.org

Subject: ATTENTION: SUPERVISOR / TOWN CLERK / ZONING CHAIRMAN RE: ZONING APPLICATION #1 ON AGENDA

6/25/20 FRANCIS SPINELLI

GENTLEMEN I HOPE TODAY FINDS YOU WELL , I AM SEEKING EXPLANATION AND RESOLVE FOR ALL MATTERS AND CONCERNS AS FACTUALLY DESCRIBED BELOW:

- *I AM WRITING TO YOU TODAY WITH GREAT CONCERN
 FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS PERTAINING TO THE
 ACCEPTED "INCOMPLETE" ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE TO BE
 IN FRONT OF THE Z.B.A. BOARD THIS THURSDAY EVENING.....
- * MOST IMPORTANTLY THE APPLICATION IS INCOMPLETE AND SHOULD NOT MOVE FORWARD
 PLEASE SEE PAGE -3 SECTION- 5 PART- D
- * ALSO SEE SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART-2 HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED BY THE LEAD AGENCY ??? IT IS BLANK ??? " WHO IS LEAD AGENCY " ????
- * AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART-3 DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE IS MISSING AS WELL
- * THESE ITEMS AS WELL AS THE THE (5) OBVIOUS MISLEADING ANSWERS TO Q: # 6 ON APPLICANTS VARIANCE APPLICATION:

6:

- (A) THE VARIANCE WILL GROSSLY PRODUCE AN UNDESIRABLE CHANGE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND WILL BE A DETRIMENT TO NEARBY PROPERTIES.....
- (B) THE BENEFIT SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT MOST CERTAINLY "CAN" BE ACHIEVED BY SOME OTHER METHOD FEASIBLE FOR THE APPLICANT WHILE KEEPING THE AESTHETICS OF THE EXISTING

SURROUNDING RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD.....

- (D) THE PROPOSED VARIANCE WILL HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT AND IMPACT ON THE PHYSICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND DISTRICT BECAUSE: ALTHOUGH THE PROPERTY IS BEING USED AS AGRICULTURAL IT LIES WITHIN A "RESIDENTIAL ZONE AS WELL AS A FLOODPLAIN"
- (E) THE HARDSHIP HAS BEEN SELF CREATED BECAUSE
 THE APPLICANT WOULD NOT NEED A VARIANCE AND WOULD NOT BE IN FRONT OF THE
 APPEALS BOARD, IF THE APPLICANT WOULD JUST SIMPLE PRIORITIZE THE USE
 FOR THE LAND IN WHICH IT WAS INTENDED FOR IE: "FARMING"

ENCLOSING: THERE HAS BEEN NO CONSIDERATION FOR STORM WATER RUNOFF AND CONTROL, (OR) ANY GUIDE LINES OR PLAN FOR CURRENT MS-4 PROVISIONS FOR THE BOARDS INFORMATION THE PREVIOUS PROPOSED SUBDIVISION PLAN SHOWS A DONATED PARCEL OF LAND TO BE USED AS RETENTION FOR DRAINAGE ON THIS VERY SAME PROPERTY WHICH THE PARCEL RECENTLY HAS BEEN FILLED IN BY THE APPLICANT IN ITS ENTIRETY, THOSE PLANS ARE STILL AVAILABLE FOR THE BOARD OR ANY OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES SO YOU CAN SEE EXACT LOCATION, WHICH I BELIEVE IS IN THE VICINITY OF PROPOSED GARAGE / WAREHOUSE / HOUSE THAT THE APPLICANT SEEKS PERMISSION TO BUILD.......

* PLEASE RESPOND ACCORDINGLY TO ALL ABOVE MATTERS I UNDERSTAND THE MEETING IS TOMORROW I URGE THIS APPLICATION TO BE REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA

UNTIL ALL ABOVE MATTERS HAVE BEEN RESOLVED

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR COOPERATION IN THIS MATTER , PLEASE DISTRIBUTE THIS EMAIL TO ALL ZONING BOARD MEMBERS AS WELL .

DEDI KEUMIDS
VIII 905
Joe Costanzo
The state of the s
Beautiful Outdoors
<u>LinkedIn</u>
Google +
Facebook

REST DEGADDS