

McGOEY, HAUSER and EDSALL CONSULTING ENGINEERS D.P.C.

MARK J. EDSALL, P.E., P.P. (NY, NJ & PA) MICHAEL W. WEEKS, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA) MICHAEL J. LAMOREAUX, P.E. (NY, NJ, PA, VT, VA & CT) MATTHEW J. SICKLER, P.E. (NY & PA) PATRICK J. HINES LYLE R. SHUTE, P.E. (NY, NJ, PA)

Main Office 33 Airport Center Drive Suite 202 New Windsor, New York 12553

(845) 567-3100 fax: (845) 567-3232 e-mail: mheny@mhepc.com

Principal Emeritus: RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. (NY & PA) WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. (NY, NJ & PA)

TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT: PROJECT NO.: **PROJECT LOCATION: REVIEW DATE: MEETING DATE:**

SUBDIVISION LANDS OF BERETTA 17-21 SECTION 8, BLOCK 1, LOT 38.2 **30 OCTOBER 2017 02 NOVEMBER 2017** PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE: MASER CONSULTING-JUSTIN DATES

- 1. Project has received variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. Copy of the variance minutes have been provided, and a note at the bottom of the bulk tables identifying date of zoning variance grant has been included on the plans.
- 2. Subsurface sanitary sewer disposal systems have been depicted on the plans.
- 3. Project requires a public hearing for subdivision for the Planning Board.

Respectfully submitted,

McGoey, Hauser and Edsall Consulting Engineers, D.P.C.

Patrick J. Hines Principal

Regional Office • 111 Wheatfield Drive • Suite 1 • Milford, Pennsylvania 18337 • 570-296-2765 •



Member



Engineers Planners Surveyors Landscape Architects Environmental Scientists

555 Hu	idson Valle New Wir			
		T	: 845.564	4.4495
R	OCT	24	onsulting 2017	
	MCCOEV H	ANSER List	ardd Flysa Color	ti. C.

October 24, 2017

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. John P. Ewasutyn, Planning Board Chairman Town of Newburgh Planning Board 308 Gardnertown Road Newburgh, NY 12550

Re: Beretta Subdivision – 60 Old Post Road Town of Newburgh, Orange County, New York <u>MC Project No. 17001138A</u>

Dear Chairman Ewasutyn:

This letter is in response to the comment letter from McGoey, Hauser and Edsall Consulting Engineers dated July 28, 2017; the comments have been repeated here for clarity.

- Comment 1: The project involves a sub division of an existing lot which contains two single family residences. The project proposes to subdivide off each of the single family residences, one with access to River Road the other with existing access to Old Post Road.
- Response 1: Comment noted.
- Comment 2: The location of sub surface sanitary sewer disposal systems should be depicted on the plans.
- Response 2: Areas of the exiting septic system for each dwelling are shown on the plan as requested.
- Comment 3: The project will require numerous variances as the resulting lots will not meet the minimum lot area required in the AR zone.

The following variances will be required for Lot 1:

- Minimum lot size 40,000 sq. ft. required, where 28,495 sq. ft. proposed, requires 11,505 sq. ft. variance
- Lot width 150 ft. required, where 95.79 ft. proposed, requires 54.21 variance.
- One side yard: 30 ft. required where 3.68 ft. requires 26.32 ft. variance
- Both side yards 80ft. required, 40.35 ft. provided, requires 39.65 ft. variance.



Chairman John Ewasutyn MC Project No. 17001138A October 24, 2017 Page 2 of 2

The following variances will be required for Lot 2:

- Lot area 40,000 sq. ft. required, where 27,954 ft. is proposed, requires 12,046 sq. ft. variance
- Front yard: 50 ft. required, where 2.05 ft. is provided, requires 47.95 ft. variance
- Side Yard setback for accessory use: 5 ft. required, 0.84 provided, requires 4.16 ft. variance
- Response 3: The project received all the above listed area variances from the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) at its September 28, 2017 meeting. Attached please find the meeting minutes from the ZBA meeting.
- Comment 4: Should project be successful in obtaining required variances, an adjoiners notice must be filed 10 days prior to returning to the Planning Board.
- Response 4: The adjoiners notice was provided by the Planning Board Engineer, Mr. Pat Hines, and has been mailed out as required.

Very truly yours,

MASER CONSULTING P.A.

Justin E. Dates, RLA

Associate

JED/pa Enclosure

R:\Projects\2017\17001138A-Beretta\Correspondence\OUT\171023JED-Berretta-Comment-ResponseLetter.docx

1	JO ANN BERETTA 55
2	know.
3	MR. LEVIN: Can you make that to
4	scale?
5	MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. Okay. Sure.
6	(Time noted: 8:29 p.m.)
7	* * *
8	
9	JO ANN BERETTA
10	60 Old Post Road
11	Section 8; Block 1; Lot 38.2
12	AR Zone
13	7:40 p.m.
14	
15	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: The next item before
16	the Board this evening is the application of
17	Jo Ann Beretta, 60 Old Post Road in Newburgh,
18	requesting area variances for lot number 1,
19	the minimum lot area, the minimum lot width,
20	minimum one side yard setback and minimum
21	combined side yard setbacks; and for lot
22	number 2, the minimum lot area, minimum front
23	yard setback for the dwelling and minimum
24	side yard setback for the accessory building
25	on a proposed two-lot subdivision with two

1		JO ANN BERETTA	56
2		existing single-family dwellings before the	
3		Planning Board.	
4		The applicant sent out 15 letters.	
5		All the mailings, publications and postings	
б		are in order.	
7		Good evening.	
8		MR. DATES: Good evening.	
9		CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Could you just	*
10		state your name and address for the record?	.'
11	• .	MR. DATES: Justin Dates from Maser	
12		Consulting. I'm presenting the project.	
13	• •	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Why don't you just	
14		brief the Board on exactly what it is the	
15		applicant is in need of for the variance.	
16		MR. DATES: Sure. The application	
17	· · ·	before you is a product of a two-lot subdivisio	n.
18	. •	The current lot is 1.43 acres in size. On the	
19		north end it has frontage on Old Post Road and	on ,
20		the south end it has frontage on River Road.	
21		There are currently two dwellings on the single	
22		lot. The red outline shows the one in proximit	У
23		of Old Post and then this is the dwelling in	
24		proximity to River Road.	
25		The applicant is looking to subdivide	

•

JO ANN BERETTA

the parcel and create two lots. That incurred 2 variances I'll present to you now. Lot 1 is on 3 the top, lot 2 is on the bottom. So lot 1, we're 4 currently in the AR Zone of the Town. The 5 minimum lot area in the AR Zone is 40,000 square 6 7 feet. By subdividing the parcel, lot 1 would have 28,495 square feet or about .65 acres. Also 8 9 by location of the existing house and the adjacent porch on the eastern side of the house, 10 we would need variances for the single side yard 11 12 and both side yards. On the east side of the house there's a porch which back in 1998 it was a 13 slab on grade. In 1998 they got a building 14 permit to enclose that and create this porch. 15 That is now within -- it's 3.68 feet from the 16 edge of that porch to the property line. Single 17 side yard setback in this zone, minimum is 30 18 feet. We would require a variance there of 26.32 19 feet. Also based on the zoning, both side yards 20 combined equal a minimum of 80 feet. So with the 21 existing location of the house to the western 22 property line and that setback from the porch to 23 the eastern property line, we are only at 40.35 24 feet, so we would need a variance of 39.65 feet. 25

4

5

6

JO ANN BERETTA

Then by creating the lot and where the existing home is, lot width -- minimum lot width in this zone is 150 feet. By dimensions of the existing lot we have 95.79 feet, so a 54.21 foot variance on that.

7 Then moving on to lot 2, which is on the bottom of the page, this also would require a 8 9 variance from the minimum lot area. This lot is 10 27,954 feet where 40,000 square feet is required. It also has a front yard variance. The current 11 12 lot essentially reads to the center line of each 13 of the roads. We also have to provide a 25-foot 14 right-of-way proposed dedication to the Town for 15 those two roads. So in both instances, obviously 16 that brings the front property lines closer to 17 the house. In this instance, on River Road for 18 lot 2, after we take away that 25 foot setback we 19 are just over 2 feet from the front yard. In 20 this zone front yard is 50 feet, we have 2.05 so 21 we need a 47.95 foot variance. Then the last 22 variance on lot 2 is on the western side of the 23 lot there's a small accessory building there. 24 Currently it's .84 feet off the property line. 25 This southwest corner. Minimum setback there is

2

3

JO ANN BERETTA

5 feet, so we need a 4.16 foot variance for that structure as well.

4 Before getting into this project the 5 applicant -- it's neighbor along the entire east 6 side is Central Hudson. They did reach out to 7 Central Hudson to see if they would be willing to 8 sell some property to them so that they could 9 boost lot area, boost setbacks and things of that 10 nature. I provided a letter to the Board that 11 shows that Central Hudson was not willing to sell 12 the property. They did try to see if they could 13 help reduce or eliminate some of these variances 14 in that sense.

15 Also from a lot area standpoint, the 16 adjacent lots to the west -- there's about five, 17 six residential lots to the west. They vary from 18 about .33 acres to about .46 acres. As I 19 presented, our proposed lots are .64 and .65, so 20 almost double some of these adjacent residential 21 lots. 22 I think that summarizes the project.

23 If you have any questions.

24 MR. LEVIN: When were the homes on each 25 lot built?

	1 2 3	JO ANN BERETTA MR			• •	
	2					
· · ·		MR			60	
	3		DATES:	I'm sorry?		
		MR	. LEVIN:	When were the	homes built	
	4	on each lot?				
	5	MR	. DATES:	It seems aroun	d 1900.	
	6	MR	. DONOVAN	: You don't pr	opose any	
	7	physical char	nges at al	11?		
	8	MR	DATES:	That's correct	. This would	
	9	be a boundary	y change.	There are no	changes to	
	10	any of the ho	omes or	- 		
	11	MR	. DONOVAN	: But for the	bulk area,	. •
	12	the lot size,	every of	ther condition	is existing?	
	13	MR.	DATES:	That's correct	•	
	14	MR.	DONOVAN:	: If I drove d	own the	
	15	street, if th	ne variano	es were denied	, it would	
	16	look just the	e samé as	it looks now?		
	17	MR.	DATES:	That's correct	۰. ۲	
	18	MR.	DONOVAN:	There is no	change. This	
	19	whole thing i	s necessi.	tated by the f	act of the	
	20	two-lot subdi	vision?			
:	21	MR.	DATES:	Yes. And it w	ould also	
	22	it's only per	mitted on	e dwelling per	lot. We've	
	23	got a situati	.on.			
	24	MR.	SCALZO:	Justin, any i	dea on	
	25	proposed lot	2 which o	ne of the well:	s is the	·
	· · ·					

1 JO ANN BERETTA 61 2 active well? 3 MR. DATES: So it actually has a --4 let's see. Lot 2 has a septic and has a service 5 -- water service. 6 MR. SCALZO: Okay. 7 MR. DATES: Lot 1 is septic and well. 8 That well right here. 9 MR. SCALZO: There's a second one 10 behind the house as well. 11 MR. DATES: It's not material to the --12 MR. SCALZO: I do have one other, or 13 something else. You had mentioned as far as the right-of-way dedication to the Town being 25 feet 14 15 off the center line of the road. Just looking at 16 also again proposed lot 2, it almost appears as 17 though your lot dedication could shift forward. 18 If you're looking -- it almost appears as though 19 the lot corner falls at the edge of pavement and 20 then you're giving the Town another 27.30 feet. 21 Is that dimension actually required if you're 22 only going 25 off the center? 23 MR. DATES: You're talking about this 24 corner? 25 MR. SCALZO: Yes.

•			. •
	1	JO ANN BERETTA 62	
	2	MR. DATES: When we took the reading it	
	3	was our understanding from the deed it's to the	
	4	center line.	
	5	MR. SCALZO: I understand.	
	6	MR. DATES: We took it right from that.	
	7	Although it's not actually the center line of	
	8	road, it was	
	9	MR. SCALZO: You have a metes and	
	10	bounds that work for you so that's what	
	11	determined it. I'm good now.	
	12	MR. DATES: Okay. It closely if you	
	13	look at both on the north and south, they closely	
	14	mimic what's going on with the Central Hudson	
	15	lot. That dedication appears to have happened	
	16	sometime in the past.	
	17	MR. SCALZO: There are three gas	
	18	markers on the east side of your property lines.	
	19	Are there any associated easements with those?	
	20	MR. DATES: On our property? As far	
	21	as	
	22	MR. SCALZO: Sometimes they have a	
-	23	prescribed width. Is there any	
	24	MR. DATES: From our survey due	
	25	diligence, it did not come up on our property.	

1 JO ANN BERETTA 63 2 MR. SCALZO: Thank you. 3 MR. LEVIN: Can I ask Mr. Donovan a 4 question? 5 MR. DONOVAN: It depends. 6 MR. LEVIN: What would happen here if 7 we did nothing? 8 MR. DONOVAN: It would look just the 9 way it looks now. There would be only one lot 10 instead of two. 11 MR. LEVIN: They would be able to 12 function --13 MR. DONOVAN: They have been for a 14 hundred years. You have two houses on one lot. 15 MR. LEVIN: Right. 16 CHAIRMAN MANLEY: At any time were they 17 two lots at one time? 18 MR. DATES: Not that I'm aware of. 19 CHAIRMAN MANLEY: So there were always 20 two separate houses on one parcel of property? 21 MR. DATES: It's been this as long as 22 this applicant has owned it. He bought it in 23 1938. Sine they owned the property since 1938 24 it's been like this. 25 MR. DONOVAN: I don't have any further

1 JO ANN BERETTA 64 2 questions. 3 MR. CANFIELD: Jim, just one question. 4 Procedurally I think it's for Dave. I don't know 5 if you have discussed this but on lot 1 there's 6 an encroachment of the gravel driveway. It goes 7 over -- splashes over a little bit to lot 8-1-37. 8 I believe that there is no obligation for any 9 enforcement or any action taken other than just 10 an acknowledgement. Is that correct? 11 MR. DONOVAN: Yeah, that's correct. Ι 12 didn't look at it that carefully, and of course I 13 didn't bring my glasses. So we have -- there's 14 no impairment on access, right? Your driveway 15 just encroaches onto the neighbor's? 16 MR. DATES: Yeah. The northwest corner 17 is on the adjacent. 18 MR. DONOVAN: I think just for 19 clarification purposes, any approval this Board 20 gives is not an approval of the existence of that 21 gravel driveway. To the extent there's any issue 22 at all -- it's been there since 1938, perhaps 23 there's not. To the extent there's any issue, 24 this Board doesn't sanction what's going on. 25 That issue remains as a private issue, not

1	JO ANN BERETTA 65
2	subject to review of this Board.
3	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: At this point are
4	there any further questions from the Board
5	regarding this application?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: I might add that the
8	application does not require County referral.
9	At this point I'll open up the
10	questions to anybody in the public that's here
11	regarding this application. If there is, please
12	step forward.
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Hearing none, I'll go
15	back to the Board one last time. If there's
16	nothing further from the Board, I would ask the
17	Board for a motion to close the public hearing.
18	MR. SCALZO: I'll make a motion to
19	close the public hearing.
20	MR. LEVIN: I'll second.
21	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Motion from Mr.
22	Scalzo. The second is going to be Mr. Levin.
23	Roll call vote. Darrell Bell?
24	MR. BELL: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Richard Levin?

•

1.	JO ANN BERETTA		66
2	MR.	LEVIN: Yes.	
3	CHAI	RMAN MANLEY: Michael	Maher is
4	absent. John M	lasten?	
5	MR. 1	MASTEN: Yes.	
6	CHAI	RMAN MANLEY: John McB	Kelvey?
7	MR. M	ACKELVEY: Yes.	
8	CHAIF	MAN MANLEY: Darrin S	Scalzo?
9	MR. S	SCALZO: Yes.	
10	CHAIF	MAN MANLEY: And myse	lf yes. The
11	public hearing	is now closed.	
12	MR. D	ATES: Thank you.	
13	(Time	noted: 7:54 p.m.)	
14	(Resu	mption for decision:	8:29 p.m.)
15	CHAIR	MAN MANLEY: The next	application
16	this evening fo	r the Board to consid	er is the
17	application of	Jo Ann Beretta, 60 Ol	d Post Road
18	in Newburgh, se	eking area variances	for lot
19	number 1, the m	inimum lot area, the	minimum lot
20	width, minimum	one side yard setback	and minimum
21	combined site y	ard setback; and for	lot 2, the
22	minimum lot are	a, minimum front yard	setback for
23	the dwelling an	d minimum side yard s	etback for
24	the accessory b	uilding on a proposed	two-lot
25	subdivision wit	h two existing single	-family
			-

JO ANN BERETTA

1

25

2 dwellings before the Planning Board. Type 23 action under SEQRA.

4 Going through the criteria set forth in 5 the law for an area variance, the first thing 6 that the Board needs to consider is whether the 7 benefit can be achieved by other means feasible 8 to the applicant. Does the Board feel that 9 there's any way that the applicant could benefit 10 from doing this any other way that's feasible? 11 MR. SCALZO: I don't think so. 12 MR. MCKELVEY: They couldn't get any land from Central Hudson. 13 14 MR. DATES: Justin Dates with Maser. Ι

just wanted to make one clarification on the
information regarding the structures. The
structure on lot 2 was circa 1900. The structure
on lot 1 was about 1964 construction date. I
just wanted to clarify that from my presentation
to the Board previously.

 21
 CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Okay. So we have

 22
 one -

 23
 MR. DATES: Lot 2 is circa 1900, lot 1

 24
 is 1964.

CHAIRMAN MANLEY: So let the record

1	JO ANN BERETTA 68
2	reflect that the applicant in their testimony is
3	correcting lot number 1 to be circa 1964 and lot
4	number 2 1900.
5	MR. DATES: Correct.
6	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Thank you.
7	MR. DATES: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: With this
9	information, continuing along with our area
10	variance criteria, does the Board feel that
11	granting of this variance would result in any
12	undesirable change in the neighborhood character
13	or detriment to nearby properties?
14	MR. BELL: No.
15	MR. MASTEN: No.
16	MR. McKELVEY: No.
17	MR. LEVIN: NO.
18	MR. SCALZO: No.
19	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: The Board feels it's
20	been pre-existing? It's been there for
21	MR. MASTEN: Over fifty years.
22	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Obviously no
23	complaints from the neighbors.
24	The next is whether or not this request
25	is substantial in nature.

JO ANN BERETTA 1 69 2 MR. LEVIN: I don't believe so. It's 3 the circumstances that they're under. 4 CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Some of the variances 5 are fairly close to the property lines, but again 6 those are now lines created based on the 7 subdivision. 8 MR. SCALZO: As our wise Counsel 9 pointed out, if you drove down the street without 10 this being approved it would look exactly the 11 same. 12 CHAIRMAN MANLEY: The only thing that 13 may occur in the future would be if they do --14 whoever buys the homes or if they sell them may 15 require variances in the future based on anything 16 that they should do in the future. We can't 17 predict what will happen in the future. 18 MR. DONOVAN: And that would be the 19 case now --20 CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Correct. 21 MR. DONOVAN: -- with the added 22 variance of there's two houses on one lot. So 23 they need relief from that. That's being 24 reduced. You can look at it that way. 25 Is that wise enough, Darrin?

				. 1	
1		JO ANN BERETTA			70
2	:	MR.	SCALZO:	didn't hear	the AC kick
3		on, Dave.		· ·	
4	÷	CHAI	RMAN MANLE	Y: Whether	or not the
5		request will h	ave any ad	verse physic	al or
6		environmental	affects.		
7		MR.	MASTEN: I	don't belie	ve so.
8		MR.	BELL: No.	·	
9	an An Angelan An Angelan	MR.	MASTEN: N	0.	
10		MR.	McKELVEY:	No.	
11	Х	MR.	LEVIN: No	•	
12	 1	MR.	SCALZO: N	0.	•
13		CHAI	RMAN MANLE	Y: Finally,	whether the
14	4 ¹ -	alleged diffic	ulty is se	lf-created.	It is
15		something that	's relevant	t but not det	cerminative.
16		Havi	ng that in	formation bei	fore the
17		Board, does the	e Board wi:	sh to make a	motion?
18		MR.	LEVIN: I'	ll make a mot	tion for
19		approval.			
20	· .	MR. I	MASTEN: I	'll second it	•
21		CHAII	RMAN MANLEY	: We have a	a motion to
22		approve from Mr	. Levin.	We have a se	cond from
23		Mr. Masten. Ro	oll call vo	te. Darrell	. Bell?
24		MR. E	BELL: Yes.		
25		CHAIF	MAN MANLEY	: Richard I	evin?
			r		

, ,

.

1	JO ANN BERETTA	71
2	MR. LEVIN: Yes.	
3	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Michael Maher is	
4	absent. John Masten?	
5	MR. MASTEN: Yes.	
6	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: John McKelvey?	
7	MR. McKELVEY: Yes.	
8	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Darrin Scalzo?	
9	MR. SCALZO: Yes.	
10	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: Myself yes. The	
11	motion is carried and the variance is granted.	
12	(Time noted: 8:34 p.m.)	
13	* * *	
14		
15	DEAN MULLINGS	
16	1671 Route 300	
17	Section 16; Block 5; Lot 2	
18	R-2 Zone	
19	7:54 p.m.	
20		
21		
22	CHAIRMAN MANLEY: The next application	n
23	before the Board this evening is the applicatio	n
24	of Dean Mullings, 1671 Route 300, Newburgh,	
25	seeking an area variance for 185-38 C(2), the l	ot

.



VICINITY MAP SCALE: 1"=2000'

OWNER/APPLICANT: JOANN E. BERETTA 60 OLD POST RD NEWBURGH, NY 12550

TAX LOT: 8-1-38.2 ±62,494 SQ. FT. ±1.43 ACRES

ZONING REQUIREMENTS: EXISTING ZONES: AR (AGRICULTURAL RESIDENCE) DISTRICT I (INDUSTRIAL BUSINESS) DISTRICT

DISTRICT FOR 'SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS' USE.

PERMITTED USE : SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING (2 EXISTING DWELLINGS).

<u>I ZONE:</u> USE: NO EXISTING OR PROPOSED USE WITHIN THIS ZONE.

BULK REQUIREMENTS REFERENCED BELOW ARE FOR THE AR ZONING

MINIMUM	REQUIRED	PROPOSED LOT I	REMARKS	PROPOSED LOT 2	REMARKS
LOT AREA	40,000 SQ. FT.	28,495 SQ. FT.	NON-CONFORMING, I 1,505 SQ. FT. VARIANCE GRANTED*	27,954 SQ. FT.	NON-CONFORMING, 12,046 SQ. FT. VARIANCE GRANTED*
LOT WIDTH	150'	95.79'	NON-CONFORMING, 54.21' VARIANCE GRANTED*	153.31'	ок
LOT DEPTH	150'	282.97'	ок	219.59'	ОК
FRONT YARD	50'	50.34'	ок	2.05'	NON-CONFORMING, 47.95' VARIANCE GRANTED*
one side yard	30'	3.68'	NON-CONFORMING, 26.32' VARIANCE GRANTED*	38.91'	OK
BOTH SIDE YARDS	80'	3.68' + 36.67' = 40.35'	NON-CONFORMING, 39.65' VARIANCE GRANTED*	38.91' + 56.47' = 95.38'	ок
REAR YARD	50'	192.31'	ОК	171.89'	ОК
HABITABLE FLOOR AREA	900 SQ. FT.	±1,223 SQ. FT.	ок	±1,338 SQ. FT.	ок
PARKING SPACES	2 PER DWELLING UNIT	DRIVEWAY + GARAGE >2	OK, EXISTING DRIVEWAY PARKING	DRIVEWAY >2	OK, EXISTING DRIVEWAY PARKING
MAXIMUM					
LOT BUILDING COVERAGE	10%	5.2% (1,481 SQ. FT.)	ОК	3.2% (893 SQ. FT.)	ок
LOT SURFACE COVERAGE	20%	10.9% (3,102 SQ. FT.)	ОК	10.4% (2,915 SQ. FT.)	ок
BUILDING HEIGHT	35'	I STORY < 35'	ОК	2 STORIES < 35'	ОК

ACCESSORY USES							
MINIMUM	REQUIRED	PROPOSED LOT I	REMARKS	PROPOSED LOT 2	REMARKS		
SIDE SETBACK	5'	N/A	N/A	0.84'	NON-CONFORMING, 4.16' VARIANCE GRANTED*		
REAR SETBACK	5'	N/A	N/A	98.07'	ок		
MAIN BLDG SEPARATION	10'	N/A	N/A	55.31'	ок		
MAXIMUM							
ACCESSORY HEIGHT	15'	N/A	N/A	< 15'	ок		
TOTAL ACCESSORY AREA	1000 SQ. FT. OR VALUE "V" FROM FORMULA IN §185-15, WHICHEVER IS LOWER: LOT I VALUE "V" = N/A LOT 2 VALUE "V" = 515 SQ. FT.	N/A	N/A	197 SQ. FT.	ок		

* - AREA VARIANCE GRANTED AT SEPTEMBER 28, 2017 ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEETING.

